- **Transparent information** flow back to marae throughout the process

10. **No extinguishment of claims:** No settlement should be advanced that relies on "full and final" extinguishment of claims and rights for Waimahana Marae and its uri. Any settlement structure must preserve Tino rangatiratanga and not bind future generations to decisions made under time pressure and Crown frameworks.

---

4. Additional Comments

Mana Motuhake and Future Generations

Waimahana Marae affirms its own **mana Motuhake** and **Tino rangatiratanga** in relation to any future engagement with the Crown. We have a responsibility to our tūpuna and to our mokopuna to ensure that:

- Our rights and authority are not surrendered through a rushed, Crown-driven process.
- Our marae maintains the ability to speak for itself and make decisions according to our tikanga.
- Future generations inherit a strong, autonomous marae with options for addressing Treaty grievances, not a marae bound by a settlement we did not genuinely consent to.

Process Concerns

The current process marginalises claimant and marae voices. Waimahana Marae notes that:

- Key decisions about mandate structure appear to have been made before adequate consultation with marae.
- The timeline does not respect the time needed for proper tikanga processes at marae level.
- The framework prioritises Crown settlement goals over hapū and marae aspirations and wellbeing

Call for Genuine Partnership

Waimahana Marae calls for a reset of this process based on genuine partnership and respect for:

- Hapū and marae rangatiratanga and mana Motuhake
- Tikanga-based decision-making timeframes.
- The right of marae to withhold consent or withdraw from processes that do not serve their people.
- The principle that Tino rangatiratanga was never ceded and cannot be extinguished.

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

5. Final Position

Waimahana Marae opposes the Whangaroa Papa Hapū Draft Mandate Proposal in its current form.

We do not consent to being included within a mandate that is:

- Crown-designed and driven by Crown timelines.
- Time-pressured and lacking adequate marae-level engagement.
- Weak on withdrawal rights and accountability to individual marae
- Structured to extinguish claims and limit future options for our mokopuna
- Risks damaging relationships within Whanau and within Hapu.

We call on the Crown and Te Tari Whakatau to:

- Acknowledge the inclusion of Waimahana Marae within the mandate documentation is premature and not based on explicit marae consent.
- Recognise that Waimahana Marae has not given informed consent to this mandate.
- Pause the process to allow proper engagement.
- Support a marae and hapū-led approach that respects Tino rangatiratanga.
- Ensure any future process includes robust protections for marae autonomy and withdrawal rights.
- Recall the Ngāpuhi Mandate Inquiry finding that the Crown must actively protect hapu rangatiratanga which needs clear evidence of hapu support before recognising a mandate.

Ngāti Aukiwa challenges the proposed mandate on the grounds that it fails to respect and protect hapū rangatiratanga. Waimahana Marae and hapū has not been:

1. consulted about inclusion in Whangaroa Papa Hapu,
2. asked to contribute to crafting this mandate,
3. not given clear, informed consent to be represented under this mandate,
4. nor to have our historical claims and tikanga brought within the definition of "ngā hapū o Whangaroa."

The Crown and Whangaroa Papa Hapū have not demonstrated a robust hapū-level decision-making process for Ngāti Aukiwa, nor provided a practical, tikanga-aligned pathway for us to remain outside or to withdraw.

Recognising this mandate in its current form would repeat past breaches criticised in other mandate inquiries, by treating our silence or marginalisation as consent.

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

SUMMARY

Waimahana Marae/ Ngāti Aukiwa Hapu opposes recognition of the proposed mandate because it fails to protect our hapū rangatiratanga in a manner consistent with previous mandate inquiry findings.

In the Ngāpuhi, Ngātiwai and Whakatōhea mandate inquiries, the Waitangi Tribunal found that the Crown breached Te Tiriti when it recognised mandates that (1) lacked clear hapū-level consent, (2) did not give hapū real control over how and by whom they were represented, and (3) offered no practical, tikanga-consistent way for dissenting hapū to stay out or withdraw. The Whangaroa Papa Hapū / Māpuna proposal repeats these defects in relation to Ngāti Aukiwa.

Our hapū has not been included and therefore not participated in designing the mandate, has not been provided with a robust hapū-level decision process, and is offered no simple, guaranteed withdrawal mechanism if we do not support decisions made in our name.

Proceeding to recognise this mandate over or around Ngāti Aukiwa would therefore continue our lived experience of decisions being made "without real hapu consent" refer (as in the Ngati Kahu ki Whangaroa Wai 116 settlement) and would mirror the Treaty breaches already identified by the Tribunal in those earlier mandate inquiries.

Progressing would be inconsistent with the Crown's obligation to actively protect hapū authority.

Nā Waimahana Marae
30 January 2026

Contact Information

For follow-up regarding this submission, please contact:

Waimahana Marae
[redacted] 9(2)(a)

Submission channels:

This submission is being sent via:

- Whangaroa Papa Hapū Google Form: https://forms.gle/2mFqvNQdUemvRff76
- Email to: mandatestrategy@whangaroapapahapu.org.nz
- Ministry of Justice consultation portal: https://consultations.justice.govt.nz/te-tari-whakatau/submissions-for-whangaroa-papa-hap-draft-mandate-s/

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Taemaro Marae Committee
Mangōnui 0494
Far North

TAEMARO
taemaro.ourmarae.com

30 January 2026

Tēnā koutou,

Tena koutou e ngā kaipānui o tēnei tuhinga. Ko tēnei tuhi he momo whakakāhore i te hiahia ā te rōpū Whangaroa Papa Hapū, hei tū he mangai mā mātou o Taemaro Marae

This letter is also to inform that on 24th January 2026 we, Taemaro Marae held a special urgent hui at Taemaro Kāuta and are here informing Whangaroa Papa Hapū as a result of that hui the whānau decision was that we, Taemaro Marae do not support their Mandate Strategy, do not support them seeking a mandate and also are seeking to have Wai Claims 515 and 517 removed from their processes. This vote of no support by our whānau and Marae is in response to our Marae being added in to this process with no prior consultation also rushing the process to a date without consulting whānau, Marae and the hapū also.

Nāku noa

[redacted] 9(2)(a)

Taemaro Marae Committee

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission to:
Whangaroa Papa Hapū
Mandate Review Team
submissions@whangaroapapahapu.org.nz

[redacted] 9(2)(a)
Ngatiruamahue Hapu, Wainui Marae
[redacted]
2 February 2026

I make this submission to **formally call for an immediate pause and independent review** of the proposed Whangaroa Papa Hapū draft mandate strategy.
This submission reflects concerns raised at hui held in **January 2026**, and shared widely among whānau, hapū, and claimants. These concerns relate to **process, consent, representation, timing, and the long-term impacts on Hapū rangatiratanga and mana motuhake**

There has been a lack of informed hapu consent.
For me personally, I believe we need to pause and go back to each hapu, (face to face) and explain how we have got to the space we are in now, and what it means for us in the future ie what does mandate mean, where does Papa Hapu fit, etc etc and what does the future hold for us when we do settle.
The social media videos were not sufficient, for me and he lot of our people to be able tto make an informed decision.
We have to get this right, before we move onto the next stage, in other words take our people on the the journey knowing the facts, and what this all means, in plain language, from now and into the future.

The Process Is Being Rushed
The current timeframe — includ ng the **February 2 submission deadline** — is widely seen as **unreasonable and inconsistent with tikanga-based decision-making**.
Hapū processes require:
- Time for kōrero and hui
- Space for whānau discussion
- Careful consideration of intergenerational impacts

Deadlines imposed by Crown processes **should not override Hapū tikanga or authority**.

Risk of Permanent Extinguishment of Hapū Rights
There is serious concern that the proposed mandate and settlement framework risks **permanently extinguishing Hapū authority and rangatiratanga**, particularly through so-called **"full and final" settlement clauses**.
These decisions would:
- Bind future generations
- Remove the ability of Hapū to revisit grievances
- Lock in Crown-designed governance structures

Such outcomes **cannot be justified without explicit Hapū approval**.

Crown-Designed Structures Undermine Hapū Authority
The proposed mandate holder and governance structures:

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

- Do not clearly reflect Hapū whakapapa and tikanga
- Appear aligned to Crown legal and administrative frameworks
- Risk reducing Hapū to advisory or symbolic roles

Hapū authority **does not derive from Crown recognition** and should not be reshaped to fit Crown convenience.

6. History of Hapū Opposition Has Been Ignored

Hapū have previously expressed clear opposition to similar approaches, including during the **Tūhono process**, where a majority of Hapū voted **against** the proposed mandate.

Ignoring this history:

- Repeats known failures
- Disregards longstanding Hapū positions
- Further erodes trust in the process

7. Lack of Transparency and Full Information

Key concerns remain regarding:

- Limited access to full documents
- Unclear legal consequences
- Complex Crown language not explained in plain terms

Hapū and whānau cannot make informed decisions without **full transparency**, including access to information through **plain-language explanations and Official Information Act disclosures where necessary**.

Crown Obligations Are Not Being Met

The Crown has an obligation to **actively protect Hapū rangatiratanga**.

Advancing a mandate without:

- Clear Hapū consent
- Adequate engagement
- Proper timeframes

fails to meet this responsibility and risks causing **further harm and division**.

Rangatahi and Whānau Are Not Adequately Engaged

There has been **insufficient engagement with rangatahi, mokopuna, and wider whānau**.

Decisions of this magnitude must:

- Involve all generations
- Create safe and inclusive spaces for kōrero
- Reflect long-term collective aspirations

I formally request that:

1. **The current mandating process is paused immediately**
2. **Submission deadlines are extended**
3. **Further Hapū-led hui are supported and resourced**
4. **An independent review is undertaken** to assess consent, process integrity, and alignment with Hapū authority

11. Support for Hapū-Led Alternatives

Opposition to the current mandate **does not mean opposition to dialogue or resolution, because I do believe once we have gone back to the people the process going forward will be more straight forward. We all want this to be resolved.**.

There is strong support for:

- Hapū-led pathways

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

- Tikanga-based governance models
- Processes grounded in whakapapa and mana motuhake

Any future process must be **designed by Hapū, for Hapū**, not imposed by Crown frameworks.

My Closing Statement

The protection of Hapū rangatiratanga is an intergenerational responsibility.
Decisions made now will shape the futures of our tamariki and mokopuna.

For these reasons, I urge the Crown and all parties involved to **pause, listen, and reset this process** in a way that truly honours Hapū authority.

[redacted] 9(2)(a)

Nāku / Nā mātou noa,
Ngatiruamahue Hapu

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Submission Number	Date received	Date sent to WPH	Name of submitter [redacted]	Hapū/hapū affiliation [redacted]	Contact Details [redacted]	Location [redacted]	Submitter ype	Method of Submission	Hapū/Marae included in WPH hapū boundaries	Rist Claim?	Wai Claim No [redacted]	Submission Category	Recommends/requests	Submission Summary	ype of Crown letter response	Repeat Concern?	Supporting Documents?	ecific Req
1	19/12/2025	29/12/2025	[redacted]	W Ye and children are descendants of Hongi Hika through Hāre Hongi II.	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zens space	N/A	No	N/A	Support	Kanohi ki te kanohi hui, recognition of kaumātua and hapū- eadership, and dec sion-making guided by kōrero, consensus, and kotahitanga. Hui are held within and bey ond rohe. Time allowed for whakapapa reconnect on. Mandating process should prior tise tikanga-led mechan sms, including recognition of whakapapa, kōrero guided by kaumata, and consensus-based hui.	Supports WPH but encourages WPH to fu ly recognise and uphold tikanga Māori, in particular rangatiratanga and the tecacy of their tūpuna. Urt must be considered and not rushed to sett ement. Does not support the proposed voting process because a mandate determined primarily through voting does not reflect tikanga	Spec fic - support	No	Notes the Crown has not recognised/responded to the letter submitted by Tahawai Hapū to [redacted]	No	No
2	19/01/2026	9/02/2026	[redacted] Kahukuraiaki Trust Board	Ngāitākaha ki Whangaroa	[redacted]	[redacted]	Email	Yes	No	N/A	N/A	Objects	Expects ful engagement and consu lation by WPH and TFW to ensure interests are recognised and protected. Replace maps that sho w the actual area of interest; e n F 1 is only estimated.	Expects ful engagement and consu lation by WPH and TFW to ensure interests are recognised and protected. Believes people will believe the area of interest is identificat io the Ngāitākaha ki Whangaroa area of interest. Understands even when the maps are amended, WPH area of interest wi l entirely overlap. [redacted] nd not support a mandating process occurring until full engagement and consultation is undertaken to protect and recognise their interests.	Spec fic - Ngāitākaha ki Whangaroa	No	No	No
3	20/01/2026	9/02/2026	[redacted]	Several whakapapa and hapū tes through Aranga Tai-ora, Wiremu Tangata, Tana Hare Hongi, and Hare Hongi	[redacted]	[redacted]	Individual	Email	N/A	No	N/A	Objects	An immediate pause and indepent review of the proposed WPH draft mandate strategy. Urges part es involved to pause, l isten and reset this process in a way that truly honours hapū authority. Request time for kōrero and hui. Space for whānau d escussion and careful consideration of intergenerational impacts. Subm ss on deadlines are extended. Further hapū ed ful ness are supported and resourced.	Reflects concerns raised at a hui. No c ear ev dence that free, prior and informed consent has been obtained by Whanganui hapū. Mandate cannot proceed w thout demonstrat e hapū consent. Hapū, Whānau and claimants hav e not been adequa ely informed, had access to all ef ormat on or been gi en sufi cient opportunity to deliberate and decide at hapū level. Process is being rushed and inconsistent with tikanga-based decision-making. The Crown process and deed ines should not ov er-de hapū tikanga. Concern that the proposed mandate and settlement framework will permanently extinguish tino hapū authori y and rangatiratanga. Insufficient engagement with rangatahi and whānau. Support for hapū-led pathways, tikanga based gov ernance models, processes grounded in whakapapa and mana motuhake.	Spec fic - Representation/withdrawal	No	No	No
4	19/01/2026	9/02/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Aukiwa, Waimahana marae	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zens space	No	No	N/A	Unclear	Exclude co-opting as a proces for recognition of a mandate	Mandate w thdrawal is a key issues. Doesn't think hapū and s ainants are going to be heard due to number of representatives in comparison to hapū and wai claim numbers. Highlights that throughout the Treaty sett ement process their hapū fought continuously with the Trust Board and the Crown to retain their sove ignty by remov ng themselves from the proces.	Spec fic - Representation/withdrawal	No	No	No
5	20/01/2026	9/02/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Aukiwa	[redacted]	[redacted]	Individual	Email	No	No	N/A	Objects	An immediate pause and indepent review of the proposed WPH draft mandate strategy. Urges part es involved to pause, l isten and reset this process in a way that truly honours hapū authori ty. Request time for kōrero and hui. Space for whānau d escussion and careful consideration of intergenerational impacts. Subm ss on deadlines are extended. Further hapū ed ful ness are supported and resourced.	No clear ev dence that free, prior and informed consent has b en obtained by Whanganui hapū. A mandate cannot proceed without demonstrable hapū consent. Timeframes are unreasonable and inconsistent with tikanga-based decision making. States hapū processes require time for kōrero and hui, space for whānau discussion and careful consideration. Crown processes and deadlines should not ov er-de hapū tikanga. Concerns proposed mandate and settlement risks permanently extinguishing hapū authority and rangatiratanga. Concerns ov er limited access to full documents, unc ear of legal consequences and complex Crown language not explained in plain terms. Crown has an obl gat on to actively protect hapū rangatiratanga. Support for hapū-led pathways, tikanga based gov ernance models and processes grounded in whakapapa and mana motuhake.	Template	Yes	No	No
6	21/01/2026	9/02/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Uru, Te Whānau Pani, Te Tahawai	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zens space	Yes	No	N/A	Supports	No addit ons, amendments or express ons of concerns. Supports draft mandate strategy in full.	Present at 17 January 2026 hui at Te Ahitanga Marae, convened by Whanganui Trust Board Group, and notes these hui notes have been circulated but that there was no recorded outcome to suggest hapū agreed with the motion put to the f oor to instruct WPH to stop or a bandon the process. States the Whanganui Trust Act on Group is a standalone and should not be treated as majority support of hapū. Sees this mandate as a continuation of a journey already walked by Tūpuna, kaumātua, claimants and whānau not a departure from it. Notes WPH for more than 20 y ears has undertaken deliberate, inclusive and tikanga-based process.	Spec fic - support	No	No	No
7	22/01/2026	9/02/2026	[redacted]	N/A	[redacted]	[redacted]	Individual	Cit zens space	N/A	No	N/A	Objects	N/A	Does not support proposed mandate strategy, or voting process. No other feedback.	Template	Yes	No	No
8	23/01/2026	9/02/2026	[redacted]	Ngāitākaha ki Whangaroa	[redacted]	[redacted]	Individual	Cit zens space	No	No	N/A	Supports	N/A	Supports the proposed representative structure and voting process. No other feedback.	Spec fic - support	N/A	No	No
9	26/01/2026	9/02/2026	[redacted]	Te Tahawai	[redacted]	[redacted]	Individual	Cit zens space	Yes	No	N/A	Supports	N/A	Supports the process as it offers a real chance for this generation to see tangible benefits. Supports the proposed voting process as it gives hapū dec sion-making at the centre, whe re also prov ding pract cal and inclusive ways For whānau to part cipate. Supports that 1 at eives each hapū to determine how they reach their deci-on in respect to their mana and autonomy. Supports the use of multiple voting methods to ensure inclusion. Proposed voting process balances tikanga-based hapū dec sion-making with clear, robust and transparent systems that meet Crown requirements and give whānau confidence their voice vil count	Spec fic - support	N/A	No	No
10	26/01/2026	9/02/2026	[redacted]	N/A	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	N/A	No	N/A	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Template	Yes	No	No
11	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngai Tupango	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
12	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Tautahi, Ngāti Rehia, Ngāti Ruanui	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	No	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
13	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Ruamahue, Ngāti Kura	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Whānau	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
14	26/01/2026	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Whānau	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
15	26/01/2026	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Cid ective	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
16	26/01/2026	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Cid ective	Email	N/A	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
17	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Ruamahue	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded. Do not ush the process.	Template	Yes	No	No
18	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Pakahi ki Whangaroa	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
19	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Aukiwa, Waimahana	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
20	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāpuarnahuhe	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
21	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Kahu/Toetoe	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	No	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Cuts For a hapū ed, tikanga-based pathway grounded in true rangatiratanga	Template	Yes	No	No
22	26/01/2026	[redacted]	No Whanau Toetoe O Rataroa, Ngati Pakahi	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
23	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Ruamahue	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
24	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Kahu/Toetoe	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	No	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
25	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāitu Teauru, Ngāti kaitutae, Ngāti Manawa	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	No	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
26	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Kahu/Toetoe	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	No	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
27	26/01/2026	[redacted]	N/A	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	N/A	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
28	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Pakahi	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
29	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Pakahi / Ngati Kahu	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Some	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
30	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Kahu / Ngāti Kawau	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Some	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
31	26/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Pakahi / Ngati Kahu	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Some	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
32	27/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngāpuarnahuhe	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
33	27/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Aukiwa	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	No	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
34	27/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Kawau, Ka tangata	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
35	27/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Kawau, Ka tangata	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
36	27/01/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Uru	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
37	1/28/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Kawau, Te Katangata and Te Whanaupani	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Whanau Trust	Email	Some	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
38	1/28/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Pakihi	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	No clear ev dence that free, prior and informed consent has b en obtained by Whanganui hapū. A mandate cannot proceed without demonstrable hapū consent. Timeframes are unreasonable and inconsistent with tikanga-based decision making. States hapū processes require time for kōrero and hui, space for whānau discussion and careful consideration. Crown processes and deadlines should not ov er-de hapū tikanga. Concerns proposed mandate and settlement risks permanently extinguishing hapū authority and rangatiratanga. Concerns ov er limited access to full documents, unc ear of legal consequences and complex Crown language not explained in plain terms. Crown has an obl gat on to actively protect hapū rangatiratanga. Support for hapū-led pathways, tikanga based gov ernance models and processes grounded in whakapapa and mana motuhake.	Template	Yes	No	No
39	1/28/2026	[redacted]	Ngāitākaha ki whangaroa	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	No	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	No clear ev dence that free, prior and informed consent has b en obtained by Whanganui hapū. A mandate cannot proceed without demonstrable hapū consent. Timeframes are unreasonable and inconsistent with tikanga-based decision making. States hapū processes require time for kōrero and hui, space for whānau discussion and careful consideration. Crown processes and deadlines should not ov er-de hapū tikanga. Concerns proposed mandate and settlement risks permanently extinguishing hapū authority and rangatiratanga. Concerns ov er limited access to full documents, unc ear of legal consequences and complex Crown language not explained in plain terms. Crown has an obl gat on to actively protect hapū rangatiratanga. Support for hapū-led pathways, tikanga based gov ernance models and processes grounded in whakapapa and mana motuhake.	Template	Yes	No	No
40	29/01/2026	[redacted]	Te Whānau Pani	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zens space	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
41	1/29/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Pakahi	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zens space	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
42	1/30/2026	[redacted]	N/A	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zens space	No	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
43	1/30/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Uru	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zens space	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
44	1/30/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Kawau, Te Katangata and Te Whanaupani	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports For a hapū- ed, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final sett ement.Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect whakapapa-based hapū author ty. Previous hapū opposi on to simi ar approaches has been disregarded.	Template	Yes	No	No
45	1/30/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Pakihi	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Email	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests the mandating process be paused immediately, submission dead nes be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken as assess consent, process integrity and a gnment with hapū tikanga. Supports For a hapū-led, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	No clear ev dence that free, prior and informed consent has b en obtained by Whanganui hapū. A mandate cannot proceed without demonstrable hapū consent. Timeframes are unreasonable and inconsistent with tikanga-based decision making. States hapū processes require time for kōrero and hui, space for whānau discussion and careful consideration. Crown processes and deadlines should not ov er-de hapū tikanga. Concerns proposed mandate and settlement risks permanently extinguishing hapū authority and rangatiratanga. Concerns ov er limited access to full documents, unc ear of legal consequences and complex Crown language not explained in plain terms. Crown has an obl gat on to actively protect hapū rangatiratanga. Support for hapū-led pathways, tikanga based gov ernance models and processes grounded in whakapapa and mana motuhake.	Template	Yes	No	No
46	1/30/2026	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Hapū	Email	Some	No	No	Objects	Remov e Ngati Pou, Ngai Tu and Te Uri Karahare from the draft mandate strategy. Request mandating process is paused immediately. Further hapū-ed hui are supported and resourced, independent rev ew is undertaken. Hapū drawn in that do not belong to Whanganui	Oppose the hapū structure List in the draft mandate strategy. Ngai Tu of Otaua, Te Uri Karahare of Waima and Ngati Pou of Waimamaku listed but are not hapū of Whanganui and consider being listed as a misappropriat on of whakapapa and a breach of their rights to tangi that belongs to them. Ngati Pou is a hapū in Waimamaku of South Hokianga that borders and also a part of the iw of Te Roroa of the Waipoua Forest to Tutamoe. The tangata is Tarahape of Waima who is descendant of Tuteauru. Te Uri Karahare is a hapū in Waima, Tahekē, Moehau and Otaua. Ngai Tu is a hapū in Otaua of South Hokianga, bordering Kaikohe and the Ngati Tautahi hapū region. Tuteauru te tangata who is another tupuna of hapū of Otaua, Tahekē, Waima and Moehau. Ngai Tu and Te Uri Karahare are the tangata and the tangata is Kaihāre, who is a tupuna of hapū in Waima, Tahekē, Moehau and Otaua. Ngai Tu is a hapū in Otaua of South Hokianga, bordering Kaikohe and the Ngati Tautahi hapū region. Tuteauru te tangata who is another tupuna of hapū of Otaua, Tahekē, Waima and Moehau. They cannot continue until they remove the hapū. No clear evidence that free, prior and informed consent has be obtained by Whanganui hapū. A mandate cannot proceed without demonstrable hapū consent. Timeframes are unreasonable and inconsistent with tikanga-based decision making. States hapū processes require time for kōrero and hui, space for whānau discussion and careful consideration. Crown processes and deadlines should not ov er-de hapū tikanga. Concerns proposed mandate and settlement risks permanently extinguishing hapū authority and rangatiratanga. Concerns ov er limited access to full documents, unc ear of legal consequences and complex Crown language not explained in plain terms. Crown has an obl gat on to actively protect hapū rangatiratanga. Support for hapū-led pathways, tikanga based gov ernance models and processes grounded in whakapapa and mana motuhake.	Template	Yes	No	No
47	1/28/2026	[redacted]	Te Whānau Pani	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zens space	Yes	No	No	Unclear	Speak to hapū and whānau on the ground	Through comp ion at this point to support	Template	Yes	No	No
48	1/29/2026	[redacted]	N/A	[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zens space	N/A	No	No	Objects	Cut s for more time. Unsure how this will impact future mokopuna

200	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Hao and Ngati Toro are hapū of Ngapuhi and ne gitbours ngā hapū o Whangarea through our co-lective connection to te ngahere o Pukerātī raua ko Omahuta.	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Wants to know what engagement WPH intends to have with affected hapū	Opposes as the strategy fails to clar fy why rohe boundar e have been identified, in part cular Pukat E, Whakarekereke, Rahiri and Omahuta. Doesn't identify who the hapū are that are sett ing in the rohe boundaries or the leading tūpuna of each hapū. Asks what engagement has taken p ace with Ngāti Hao and Ngāti Toro hapū and whānau, and what ingagement WPH intends to have w ith affected hapū Lack of engagement with Ngati Hao and Ngati toro, unclear intent on of engagement between WPH and affected hapu.	AOI	Yes	No	No
201	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Hao and Ngati Toro are hapū of Ngapuhi and ne gitbours ngā hapū o Whangarea through our co-lective connection to te ngahere o pukerātī raua ko Omahuta.	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Wants to know what engagement WPH intends to have with affected hapū	Opposes as the strategy fails to clar fy why rohe boundar e have been identified, in part cular Pukat E, Whakarekereke, Rahiri and Omahuta. Doesn't identify who the hapū are that are sett ing in the rohe boundaries or the leading tūpuna of each hapū. Asks what engagement has taken p ace with Ngāti Hao and Ngāti Toro hapū and whānau, and what ingagement WPH intends to have w ith affected hapū Lack of engagement with Ngati Hao and Ngati toro, unclear intent on of engagement between WPH and affected hapu.	AOI	Yes	No	No
202	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Pēki te Aroha te marae, Ngāti Hao hapu, Te Popoto te Iwi, Ngapuhi nui tonu te Iwi, Taurahumaru te tangata	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Acknow edge and dent f y a l stakeholders who wi l be impacted	The mandate encroaches on the Waihou Va ley and of Te Popoto and ts aff fated hapū without acknowledging or identifying Mana Whenua status.	AOI	Yes	No	No
203	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Te Popoto	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	N/A	Does not support	Template	Yes	No	No
204	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Toro ma Te Roiaia ki Rahiri nui Mangamuka, which is affected by the boundary ines WPH is claiming as theirs.	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	For WPH to stay on their side of the boundar es	Against the mandate, sees it as an opportunity for money, mining and greed that doesnt help anyone especia ly Papatuanuku.	Template	Yes	No	No
205	1/31/2026	[redacted] (Waimahana Marae)		Waimahana Marae/ Ngati Aukiwa is connected to hapu o Whangarae through shared whenua, whakapapa and occupat on within the Whangarae- Mangonui rohe. Te Puhi o Te Waka at Waimahana Bay is recognised as Marae of the Ngati Kahu ki Whangarea and also connects to Ngapuhui	[redacted]	N/A	Col ective	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Unclear	Wants Ngāti Aukiwa to be specifically named and have specific representation, and for Waimahana marae to be ab e to appoint and recal l their representative and to direct negotiators. Want assurances negot ations strategies and redress w ill spec f cally protect Ngāti Aukiwa whenua and moana. Wnats Ngāti Aukiwa whānau to be better informed, that voting and info hui w ill include and target Ngāti Aukiwa.	Supports in principle a co-ective Whangaroa mandate but does not fully support at this time. The marae require recogn ion of Ngati Aukiwi/ Waimahana as a distinct hapu with its own seat. Doesn't agree with one hapū onerepresentative as it does not acknowledge sizeisca ercitiveosity of individual hapū. Seeks clear er tten tlanga processes for the marae to appoint & recal representative and to nogot ations including regular hui a marae at Waimahana. Assurances that the redress wi l protect Ngati Aukiwa whenua and moana and coastal boundaries. Acknowledges the range of v oting options offered but states they do not give fu l confidence that Ngati Aukiwa whanau of Waimahana, Taemaro and wider w ill be properly informed.	Spec f ic - representat on and Ngāti Aukiwa/Waimahana			
206	1/31/2026	[redacted]		they's born and raised in the Ngāitikahu ki Whangarāe rohe	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Wants to know if any other representative models have been explored	Wants the structure reflects a standard Pōrōti model but in the name of a Te Whakaminenga approach. Asks whether any other models have been explored.	Spec f ic - structure (mostly temp ate)	Yes	No	No
207	1/31/2026	[redacted]			[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	Yes (not inc l ude c aim)	Wa 86 (1070) o aim won't be a 5-d git number - say s they are head c aimant for a Ngāpuhi Fo ūnding Sir Graham Latimer	Should not sett e	Does not support, Māori should not sett e and extings sh their sov eingnity	Template	Yes	No	No
208	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Ngāti Ruamahue, Ngāti Kura	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	No	No	Supports	N/A	N/A	Spec f ic - support	N/A	No	No
209	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Ngāti Ruamahue, Ngāti Kura	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Supports	N/A	N/A	Spec f ic - support	N/A	No	No
210	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Ngāti Hao	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Wants to know what engagement WPH intends to have with affected hapū	Opposes as the strategy fails to clar fy why rohe boundar e have been identified, in part cular Pukat E, Whakarekereke, Rahiri and Omahuta. Doesn't identify who the hapū are that are sett ing in the rohe boundaries or the leading tūpuna of each hapū. Asks what engagement has taken p ace with Ngāti Hao and Ngāti Toro hapū and whānau, and what ingagement WPH intends to have w ith affected hapū Lack of engagement with Ngati Hao and Ngati Toro, unclear intention of engagement between WPH and affected hapu.	AOI	Yes	No	No
211	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Ngāti Hao ngā iwi o Ngāti Toro are hapu of Ngapuhi and neighbours ngā hapu o whangarea through our collective connection to te ngahere o pukerātī raua ko omahuta	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	N/A	does not support the mandate as it currently stands	Template	Yes	No	No
212	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Ngāti Tuaropū, [redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	There shou d be more than one hapū representative - this has fai ed before and doesn't bring most qualified people to the tab e. Communicat ons need to be better	One rep for each hapū is not enough. Strategy doesn t have enough detai l on proposed voting process. It hasn't been spoken about enough with different hapū	Spec f ic - structure and voting (mostly temp ate)	Yes	No	No
213	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Ngāetupango hapu	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Consult c aimant groups and hapū properly	Feels one representative for each hapū is not enough. Allows too much room for hapu hopp ng and therefore v ote stacking. One rep per hapū means less developed hapū are vulnerable to some whānau stacking the r votes. Not transparent enough process. Hapu not proper y consulted.	Spec f ic - representat on (mostly template)	Yes	No	No
214	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Te Uri o Te Aho	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	Yes	Wa [redacted]	Objects	Requests a pause in process. Wants specific engagement with Te Uri o Te Aho / [redacted] Claimants in same age group, prefer kanohi te kanohi and printed documents for communication	Has been trying to get into contact with WPH with no response. WPH has had no engagement with the west of Whangarea. WPH has been relying on the internet for commun cat ons but many claimants in the same age group, prefer communication through kanohi te kanohi and printed documentation.	Spec f ic - no response from WPH when tryng to contact	Yes	No	No
215	1/26/2026	[redacted]		[redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	No	No	Unclear	N/A	Stands w th their whānau in Whangarea	Template	N/A	No	No
216	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Ngāti Pakahi, Ngāti Uru, Tahawai Whakaapiri, Ngāpuhi-nui-tonu, more	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Pause to allow hapū of Whangarea to make decisions. The process is going too fast	there not had transparency from WPH. Crown process s dis sive. Hapū ticked by WPH without consent.	Template	Yes	No	No
217	31/02/2026	[redacted]		Ko Whakaraka te Maunga Ko Mataatua te Waka Ko Tainui te Moana Ko Pukerātī te Moana, te turangawaewae Ko Taiao te Marae Ko Ngapuhi te Whare Tupuna Ko Ngapuhi te Iwi Ko Ngati Kura, Ngati Tomhina, Ngati Miru, Ngati Rehia nga hapu [redacted]	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Critical to hui, debate, support whānau understanding and seek agreement before agreeing drafts. Go back to the beginning	Does not support the process. Informed drafts with TTW. Needs hui and debate and agreement among whānau. Go back to the beginning and do it properly	Template			
218	1/26/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Pakahi, Ngāti Uru, Tahawai Whakaapiri, Ngāpuhi-nui-tonu, more	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Requests mandating processing be paused immediately, submission deadlines extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced and independent review undertaken.	Does not support. Requests mandating processing be paused immed ately, subm sson deadlines extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced and independent review undertaken.	Template	Yes	No	No
219	1/26/2026	[redacted]		Hoi ngati Pakahi but notes connects to Whangarawa through Tupuna, Hongi Hika, Tuai, Eru Patuone Aperahama	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	No	No	Objects	N/A	Does not support. Wants land back. Notes the submission is on behalf of all my ur ui.	Template			
220	1/26/2026	[redacted]		Kahangata hapū, Ngati Kahu ki Whangarea iwi, Karangahape, Te Touwai	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Request for pause process to a low adequate time to review and contsu t, including time to put a kaupapa in place to inform and engage whānau not based in Whangarea.	Unclear on position or support and needs more time to study mandate strategy and consu t with tur, hapū and whānau. Notes that many tu ri in Auckland and elsewhere and have only just heard of the draft mandate strategy. Process needs to be treated with care as consequences are long term	Template	Yes		
221	1/26/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Pakahi / Mangatī Kaeo	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Request for more time to let whānau decide	Does not agree w th proposed rep structure or voting process.	Template	Yes	No	No
222	1/26/2026	[redacted]		Pohahawa	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Unclear	N/A	Preliminary dissmsd at tlanslating the ma tiku ( ) Doesn't know the tikanga of this kōrero, but disagrees with the kaupapa of the Crown. Agrees with a hapū mandate and retaining rangatiratanga. Objects to rushed process.	Template - to inv a tion	Yes	No	No
223	1/26/2026	[redacted]		[redacted] From Matangirau	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	No	No	Objects	limited ate pause to enable proper consultation undertaken with hapū and iwi	Seeks a pause. States the gov ernment is d s respecting sov ereignty and tino rangatiratanga rights with the strategy and structure.	Template			
224	1/31/2026	[redacted]		N/A	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	No	No	Objects	Change the lines on the map to exclude Hokianga	Wants WPH to exclude Hokianga from the proposed ngā hapū o Whangarāe Area of Interest	AOI		No	No
225	1/31/2026	[redacted]			[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	N/A	The timelines are unacceptable and prev ious submissions have not been considered.	Template	Yes	No	No
226	1/31/2026	[redacted]		Born and Raised	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	citizenspace	N/A	No	No	Objects	N/A	N/A	Spec f ic - structure and voting (mostly temp ate)	N/A	No	No
227	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Mr tupuna whakapapa to Whangarea	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	Mandate process (and structure) must recogn se each hapū holds its own mana and decision-making authority. Each hapū should be ab e to decide and v ote independently, according to its own tikanga and processes, rather than being bound by a sing e, collective v ote.	A single structure to represent many distinct hapū won't accurately represent the v oices, needs, or sov ereignty of hapū. Each hapū must be able to speak for itse f. Voting process appears to rely on a single, collective approach which assumes one sy stem can fairly represent all v oices. Risks s ing sma le hapū and undermines hapū sov ereignty. More time required, more engagement (face to Face) needed and information must be readily av ailable - shared openly and be accessible. Honour the mana, rangatiratanga and tino sov ere ignty of each hapū.	Spec f ic - structure and voting (mostly temp ate)	No	No	No
228	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Ngāti Ruamahue	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Supports	N/A	N/A	Template	Yes	No	No
229	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Kawau	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Pause immediately and more consu ation required	Pause immediately until hapū and whānau have been better consulted and understand the process. Don't rush.	Template	Yes	No	No
230	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Ruamahue	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Supports	N/A	N/A	Template	Yes	No	No
231	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Hao	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Supports Objects	Request that the draft mandate strategy be reconsidered and amended to remove Ngāti Hao whenua from the proposed mandate area. A more appropriate approach would be for all hapū to clearly lay out their whakapapa connections, as a starting point, and that cul ectively agree on a Fair and tikanga-based process before any voting occurs. This would help ensure that outcomes ref ect true representat on, unity & mana. Take more time to kōrero kanohi ki te kanohi. Ensure stronger d spute resolution pathways grounded in tikanga. Better comms with whānau. Pause to reflect on long-term impl cat ons of mandate dec sions.	Ngāti Hao strongly object to the boundary in the WPH draft mandate strategy and do not consider there was a reasonable explanat on proc ded by WPH as to why the boundary extends into Ngāti Hao whenua. They object to the draft mandate strategy on this bas s. Also suggests further engagement required, a pause is needed. Voting and structure should be grounded in whakapapa and tikanga, and should inform the dev ision-making and participat on structures, and the dispute resolution mechanism	Spec f ic - structure and voting (mostly temp ate)	Yes	No	No
232	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Ngāti Hao	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Pause process. Resource hapū to have the capab lit es to engage in these process appropriately	Pause process. Wahāwa need to be given time to discuss and prepare for the process. Process is not consistent with tikanga and hapū dec sion-making. The proposed mandate risks permanently binding present and future generations through full and final settlement. Crown dis gree	Template	Yes	No	No
233	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Toro	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	N/A	N/A	Template	Yes	No	No
234	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Ngāetupango	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	N/A	N/A	Template - to discuss as above	Yes	No	No
235	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Hao	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Pause the process	N/A	Template	Yes	No	No
236	1/30/2026	[redacted]		Te Popoto, Ngati Toro, Te Ngāhengahe	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Whānau and hapū must be able to help set the terms of engagement if they consent to engage.	Process be paused immediately, submission dead lines be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports for a hapū-led, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Spec f ic	Yes	No	No
237	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	N/A	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Some	No	No	Objects	Process be paused immediately, submission dead lines be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports for a hapū-led, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Mandate process is premature. Hapū and iwi must be given time to discuss and prepare for the process which shows it is already pre-mad tailed on what the parameters of the engagements are. The mandate process s ds sive and inconsistent with tikanga Māori and hapū rangatiratanga. Approach closely mirrors Tūhonohono model, [redacted] hapū have been [redacted] Crown fav [redacted] ther than hapū facing, which raises questions about the leg itimacy and neutra ity of the process. Unity cannot be imposed through pressure, deadlines or silence being treated as consent.	Template / ACI	Yes	No	No
238	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngāpuhi, Ngāpūiwi	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Must hold iwi first. Future about hapū [redacted] happens first	Wants iwi to be involved first. Wants hapū consulted on with the Whānau Hapū, Ngāti Iwi and Ngāpuhi. Does not support the v ote as Māori. Wants iwi to have a voice in the process. Wants iwi to have a voice in the process. Wants iwi to have a voice in the process.	Template	Yes	No	No
239	1/26/2026	[redacted]		Ngati Matakiri	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	Immed ate pause, subm ssions extended, further hapū-led hui resourced and independent rev ew. Ca l for hapū-led, tikanga-based pathways grounded in rangatiratanga.	Concern around the c aim ms o [redacted] maps. WPH [redacted] one any claim [redacted] ngā has no claims over Ngāti Matakiri whenua. Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final settlement. Representat on and gov ernance models do not clearly reflect whakapapa-based hapū authority. Pres ious hapū opposit on to sim ar approaches has been disregarded. Insuf f icient engagement.	Template	Yes	No	No
240	1/26/2026	[redacted]		N/A	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	No	No	Objects	More time to decide	N/A	Template	Yes	No	No
241	1/26/2026	[redacted]		Give the process and all whānau decide	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Don't need this pro [redacted]	Pause process	Template	Yes	No	No
242	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngāti Ruamahue	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Pause process. Resource hapū to have the capab lit es to engage in these process appropriately	States there has [redacted] process from the sta [redacted] hapū Has adequate time and resources has not been provided to inform whānau properly as many are only learning about the process recently. Supports the stance of their hapū to not be a part of the process as they had not given consent to [redacted] part of the sh [redacted] does not support the v oting process as it does not align with hapū rangatiratanga and there s sti l only a limited group of peop e with the decision-making power.	Template	Yes	No	No
243	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Toro	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	N/A	N/A	Template / Not (Not mention of AOI in sub; but from Hokianga)	Yes	No	No
244	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngāetupango	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	N/A	N/A	Template - to discuss as above	Yes	No	No
245	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Hao	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	A [redacted] the [redacted] were determined and [redacted] especially those boundaries that pertain to Pukatā and Whakarekereke, who and which hapū are settling the dent fed boundaries, what consultat on has taken p ace with Ngati Hao and Ngati Toro, who are the Tupuna and what is the common ration [redacted] any settlement [redacted] ngā iwi of Ngāpuhi. Recognised, or mandated to represent our whānau/hapū ends, rights or interests and engagement between such groups cannot be treated as whānau/hapū authorised engagement. Have been pressured or coerc ed to engage and make submiss ons [redacted] WPH is asserting cai [redacted] our whenua disregards tikanga and iv a-laws the legality of the process. WPH boundary line for their interests, is incorrect and does not meet any reasonable standard of v alidity. WPH re-appropriating Te Wakaminenga for settlement purposes is disrespectful, [redacted] and misrepresent [redacted] ngā hapū of iwi. There is a clear distinct between indi-dual consent, whānau consent and hapū consent, all of which must be respected in respect to proposal that affect rights, lands and taonga. Indi-dual consent cannot be ov er-ridden by hapū if i does not agree with [redacted] whānau's wishes or the w [redacted] our whānau/hapū. Indi-dual consent cannot override and bind indi-dual whānau whose rights are directly at stake without their informed voluntary consent and any voting process that allows hapū decision sides to override individual or whānau opposition is inconsistent with [redacted]	Spec f ic	Yes	No	No
246	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	N/A	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Some	No	No	Objects	Process be paused immediately, submission dead lines be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports for a hapū-led, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Process be paused immediately, submission dead lines be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports for a hapū-led, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Template / ACI	Yes	No	No
247	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]		[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Some	No	No	Objects	Process be paused immediately, submission dead lines be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports for a hapū-led, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Process be paused immediately, submission dead lines be extended, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced, and independent review of mandate and process be undertaken. Supports for a hapū-led, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga.	Template	Yes	No	No
248	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngāpuhi, Ngāpūiwi	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	N/A	N/A	Template	Yes	No	No
249	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	N/A	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	No	No	Objects	N/A	N/A	Template	Yes	No	No
250	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Hao	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	N/A	N/A	Template	Yes	No	No
251	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Pakahi, Ngati Uru, Tahawai, Ngati Rua	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Some	No	No	Objects	Pause the process	Does not support the proposed structure as Ngati Pakahi has not be in involved in dev eloping the strategy. Hapū has not agreed to any of the proposed mandate strategy. Need to earn Fa'tūnohonuku and tha division does not benefit anyone. Ngati Pakahai are capable of created a response but has not been given time or consideration. Does not supporting process. The Crown wi nd Ngati Hao are ready to address them. Be ev ery communication with the Crown and WPH has been through back door communications.	Spec f ic - backdoor communication (mostly temp ate)	Yes	No	No
252	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Te Tahawai	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Process be paused immediately, hapū-led hui be supported and resourced and an independent review undertaken	Does not support the proposed structure, or v oting process. Hapū Free, prior and Fromned consent has not been c early obtained. Te Tahawai has declined to be inv o ved and sent a ettr last year to WPH and the Crown. Timeframes are rushed and incons istent with tikanga and hapū decis on-making. Risks binding present and future generations through full and final settlement. Representation and gov ernance models do not ref ect hapū-based authority. Pres ious hapū opposition to simi ar approaches has been disregarded. Notes head hui for Ngāpuhi ki Te Tai Tokerau and Ngāpuhi nui tonu ki te Ngāpuhi.	Spec f ic - mostly temp ate but mention Te Tahawai letter			
253	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Te Tahawai, Ngāti Mahuhu, Te Uri-o-Te-Aho, Ngati Uru	[redacted]	N/A	Col ective (oin b	Cit zenspace	Yes	Yes	Wa [redacted]	Objects	Whangarāe claims should be considered a single the current Wai 5 Reserved Māori-whenua Inquiry claims as well as Marine & Coastal Area claims in their entirety	Wants iwi to be involved first. Wants hapū consulted on with the Whānau Hapū, Ngāti Iwi and Ngāpuhi. Does not support the v ote as Māori. Wants iwi to have a voice in the process. Wants iwi to have a voice in the process. Wants iwi to have a voice in the process. [redacted] does not agree that the current proposed structure is not up to standard and is concerned in its scope [redacted] supports the resumption approach instead [redacted] proceed to any negotiations that do not recognise tino rangatiratanga.	Spec f ic	Yes	No	No
254	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	gati Hao	[redacted]	N/A	Whānau	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	N/A	The process fails to identify clearly or sufficiently on many fronts for example: who are the hapū that have determined the boundar es and out in the maps. what consu tation have taken place with Ngati Hao and Ngati Toro in relation to Whakarekereke and what does WPH intend to do in communicating better in regards to the strategy.	Template	Yes	No	No
255	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngatupango	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Ngātapango has not given full consent to any mandate or settlement, plan, present or future. Silence or absence must not be interpreted as consent. Concern around the Full and Final settlement. Framework and how it extinguished past, present and future. Treaty claims, binds future generations without their consent, repeaks hapū authority and does not reflect partnership under Te Tiriti.	Ngātapango has not given full consent to any mandate or settlement, plan, present or future. Silence or absence must not be interpreted as consent. Concern around the Full and Final settlement. Framework and how it extinguished past, present and future. Treaty claims, binds future generations without their consent, repeaks hapū authority and does not reflect partnership under Te Tiriti.	Template	Yes	No	No
256	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Toro	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	N/A	Does not support	Template	Yes	No	No
257	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngāpuhi ki Whangarāe	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	No	No	Objects	Opposes any c aims made for Ngati Toro as unsure why Whangarāe believes they have interests in Ngati Toro whenua	Opposes any c aims made for Ngati Toro as unsure why Whangarāe believes they have interests in Ngati Toro whenua	Template	Yes	No	No
258	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Toro	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Hapū mandate must be by hapū, for hapū, guided by tikanga and whakapapa, so all v oices are heard/respected	Represents a choice tokens and hapū authority, whānau hapū and perspectives are central to decision-making. Without more defined mechanisms for engagement and accountab ity, the structure r isks marginal ising v oices of most affected. Structure that is by hapū, for hapū and ref	Template	Yes	No	No
259	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Hao	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	No	No	Objects	Follow tikanga	WPH is putting forth claims over Ngāti Toto whenua, the process has been unfair and tacked pros and informed hapū consent	Template	Yes	No	No
260	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Pakahi, Ngati Uru	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Some	No	No	Objects	Wants hapū to be able to build trusts for kaupapa as Ngāti Whātua have done. Supports Whānau to become Fa'atūnohonuku for whānau to move back to	Hapū need to meet as an iwi dual kaki and grid cot ectiv ely. Creates number of hapū members not based at home. Crown unwillingness to engage with hapū and claimants. Is not equipped. Not been informed as to what the process means for them or their whānau	Template	Yes	No	No
261	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Hao	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	WPH must address their area of interest including Ngati Hao whenua. WPH engage and recognise the mana whenua status of Ngati Hao	The area of interest crosses deep into the heart of Ngati Hao whenua at Te Whakarekereke. Any overcreek flowing south of Omahuna Ridge is Ngati Hao mana whenua, any flowing north is Whangarea. No engagement from WPH on this.	AOI	Yes	No	No
262	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Toro, Popoto	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	N/A	Rushed process	Template	Yes	No	No
263	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Descendent of Te Pahi, Ngāti Rua, Taupo Marae	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	No	No	No	Objects	Process be paused immediately, submission dead lines be extended, hapū-led resourced and independent review undertaken, Support for a hapū-led, tikanga based pathway grounded in rangatiratanga	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been obtained, time frames are rushed and incons istent with tikanga and hapū decision-making, risks binding present and future general ons through Full and Final settlement, representation does not reflect whakapapa-based hapū authority, prev ious hapū opposition ignored.	Template	Yes	No	No
264	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ebank	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	N/A	N/A	N/A	Unclear	N/A	N/A	Template	N/A	N/A	N/A
265	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Te Uri O Te Aho, Te Tahawai, Te Uri Tamiwa, Whanau Pani	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	Stop process for Further hapū consultation	N/A.	Template	Yes	No	No
266	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Pakahi me Ngati Uru ki Mangatī marae. Ngati Kahu ki Whangarea	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Yes	No	No	Objects	More time to understand the Crown process and impact	Needs to understand how the Crown processes wi l impact on ch ldren and moko puna. If the process continues, they w ll lose their rights as tangata whenua. Hapū and claimants will be extinguish ed with s tous of rangatiratanga. Need to acknowledge what has been done to get to current stage and wānanga about how to work together.	Template	Yes	No	No
267	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Toro, Ngati Hao, Ngati Torehina	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Some	No	No	Objects	Process be paused immediately, submission dead line be extended, hapū-led hui resourced and independent review undertaken, Support for a hapū-led, tikanga based [redacted] way grounded in rangatiratanga	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final settlement. Representation and gov ernance models do not clearly reflect whakapapa-based hapū authority, prev ious hapū opposition to sim ar approaches has been disregarded. Insuf f icient engagement. Lack of transparency for hapū to make a informed decis on including access to informal on in plain-language. Concerns the outcome of the process has been predetermined, rather than seeking hapū direction. Given unresolved procedural defects, the Crown cannot rely on this process and must pause.	Template	Yes	No	No
268	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Toro, Ngati Hao, Ngati Torehina	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Some	No	No	Objects	Process be paused immediately, submission dead line be extended, hapū-led hui resourced and independent review undertaken, Support for a hapū-led, tikanga [redacted] way grounded in rangatiratanga	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final settlement. Representation and gov ernance models do not clearly reflect whakapapa-based hapū authority, prev ious hapū opposition to sim ar approaches has been disregarded. Insuf f icient engagement. Lack of transparency for hapū to make a informed decis on including access to informal on in plain-language. Concerns the outcome of the process has been predetermined, rather than seeking hapū direction. Given unresolved procedural defects, the Crown cannot rely on this process and must pause.	Template	Yes	No	No
269	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Toro	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Some	No	No	Objects	Process be paused immediately, submission dead line be extended, hapū-led hui resourced and independent review undertaken, Support for a hapū-led, tikanga [redacted] way grounded in rangatiratanga	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final settlement. Representation and gov ernance models do not clearly reflect whakapapa-based hapū authority, prev ious hapū opposition to sim ar approaches has been disregarded. Insuf f icient engagement. Lack of transparency for hapū to make a informed decis on including access to informal on in plain-language. Concerns the outcome of the process has been predetermined, rather than seeking hapū direction. Given unresolved procedural defects, the Crown cannot rely on this process and must pause.	Template	Yes	No	No
270	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Toro, Ngati Hao, Ngati Torehina	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Some	No	No	Objects	Process be paused immediately, submission dead line be extended, hapū-led hui resourced and independent review undertaken, Support for a hapū-led, tikanga [redacted] way grounded in rangatiratanga	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final settlement. Representation and gov ernance models do not clearly reflect whakapapa-based hapū authority, prev ious hapū opposition to sim ar approaches has been disregarded. Insuf f icient engagement. Lack of transparency for hapū to make a informed decis on including access to informal on in plain-language. Concerns the outcome of the process has been predetermined, rather than seeking hapū direction. Given unresolved procedural defects, the Crown cannot rely on this process and must pause.	Template	Yes	No	No
271	2/2/2026	2/9/2026	[redacted]	Ngati Toro, Ngati Hao, Ngati Torehina	[redacted]	N/A	Individual	Cit zenspace	Some	No	No	Objects	Process be paused immediately, submission dead line be extended, hapū-led hui resourced and independent review undertaken, Support for a hapū-led, tikanga [redacted] way grounded in rangatiratanga	Hapū Free, prior and informed consent has not been clearly obtained. Timeframes are rushed and inconsistent with tikanga and hapū decision-making. Proposed mandate r isks permanently binding present and future generations through Full and Final settlement. Representation and gov ernance models do not clearly reflect whakapapa-based hapū authority, prev ious hapū opposition to sim ar approaches has been disregarded. Insuf f icient engagement. Lack of transparency for hapū to make a informed decis on including access to informal on in plain-language. Concerns the outcome of the process has been predetermined, rather than seeking hapū direction. Given unresolved procedural defects, the Crown cannot rely on this process and must pause.	Template	Y

Whangaroa Papa Hapū draft mandate strategy: submissions analysis and proposed next steps

402.

Theme: Engagement and consultation				
		9(2)(g)(i)	9(2)(g)(i)	9(2)(g)(i)
Issue	**Concern**	[redacted]	[redacted]	
General engagement/consultation by WPH with claimant community during the design of the process	• Not enough consultation and insufficient engagement • Inform people on the marae not through social media • Meaningful hapū participation in mandate process • Hapū consent to be included in proposed mandate not obtained • Lack of access to information in plain language • Accelerated timeframes have not allowed time for hapū kōrero and to lead hui • Calls for hapū to decide the process • Need for time and space to kōrero and hui • No hapū involvement in determining outcomes	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
WPH engagement on mandate development has mostly occurred with the Crown (submission 4, 295, 310)	• Assert WPH has mostly focused on Crown-facing engagement to date instead of hapū-facing • Concerns of backdoor communication with the Crown about mandating • Concerns about 'co-opting' hapū into the proposed mandate, rather than getting their permission to be part of the Whangaroa mandate process, to allow for mandate recognition	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Involvement of / consultation with Wai claimants (submission 3, 4, 95, 101, x)	• Not enough targeted consultation and insufficient engagement with Wai claimants about inclusion of their claims in the draft mandate strategy • Concerns that their claims may be settled without their consent • Concerns Wai claimants have not had access to information • Concerns that number of Wai claimants is greater than the number representatives on proposed structure, so Wai claimants unlikely to be heard	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

			• [redacted]	
Access to documentation (submission 286, 293)	• Inability to easily access/find the draft mandate strategy	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Theme: Inclusion/exclusion of specific hapū and Wai claims				
Issue	**Concern**	[redacted]	[redacted]	
Te Tahaawai inclusion (submission 1, 68, 134, 158, 202, 254, 311) 9(2)(a)	• WPH and the Crown have not responded to the 11 August 2025 letter submitted by Te Tahaawai hapū 9(2)(a) • Opposition of mandate not heard – reference to Te Arawhiti correspondence from 2021 ([redacted] members of Te Tahaawai) noting Te Tahaawai would be excluded from mandate documents if they did not want to be in – in that correspondence, sought assurance "the our hapū Tino Rangatiratanga is protected and Te Tahaawai will not be represented in settlement negotiations by any entity that has not been endorsed by the majority of our hapū members" • Marae being closed meant some individuals were not informed of the ongoing development of the draft mandate strategy, particularly the continued inclusion of Te Tahaawai • [redacted] concerned about the proposed Area of Interest (AoI), but since two of the marae are closed, hapū cannot meet to discuss	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Ngāti Ruamahue inclusion (including one submission with names of at least 80 hapū members) (submission 113, 119)	• Ngāti Ruamahue are included in WPH's draft mandate strategy without consent or adequate consultation • Seek removal of Ngāti Ruamahue from the draft mandate strategy and no future mandate or related strategy may include the hapū without prior, explicit and informed consent given freely and on the basis of full disclosure • Proposed WPH governance structure reflects Crown preferences and promotes a top-down framework. Large group submission appends an alternative framework (Plural Māori Authority Process) which they request replace the current framework	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

	• Insufficient engagement on proposed Ngāti Ruamahue inclusion in draft mandate strategy or its drafting	[redacted]		
Exclusion of Ngāti Aukiwa and inclusion of Waimahana marae (submission 4, 75, 208 291)	• Submitters seek for Ngāti Aukiwa to be specifically named and have specific representation, and for Waimahana marae to be able to appoint and recall their representative and to direct negotiators • Want assurances negotiations strategies and redress will specifically protect Ngāti Aukiwa whenua and moana • Wants Ngāti Aukia whānau to be better informed, that voting and info hui will include and target Ngāti Aukiwa	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted] 9(2)(g)(i)	[redacted] • Submitter "prefers the resumption approach" • Notes Whangaroa claims should be considered alongside Renewed Muriwhenua Inquiry and MACA claims	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Inclusion of Ngaitupango (submission 216, 314)	• Uri of Ngāitupango oppose inclusion of hapū in draft mandate strategy, noting that full consent has not been given	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Lack of engagement with Wai 1253 and Te Uri o Te Aho (submission 217)	• Wants engagement with Te Uri o Te Aho specifically – preference for kanohi ki te kanohi • States there has been no engagement by WPH to the west of Whangaroa, request for this process to be paused. Assert no response to queries to WPH	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Whangaroa Papa Hapū draft mandate strategy: submissions analysis and proposed next steps

Theme: Area of interest / Overlapping interests				
Issue	**Concern**	[redacted]	[redacted]	
Hokianga hapū Ngāti Hao, Ngāti Toro – relating to WPH AOI (submission 140, 196,198, 201, 203, 204, 205, 213, 227, 230a, 235, 248, 253, 256, 258, 268, 304, 305, 307, 308, 313, 315, 317, 319, 340) Ngāi Tu, Te uri Kaiwhare, Ngāti Pou (submission 43)	• Hokianga hapū are concerned the WPH Area of Interest (AoI) encroaches into areas where Hokianga hapū assert mana whenua • Hokianga hapū voiced concerns to WPH at hui in late 2025, do not feel issue has been resolved	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa (NKkW) – overlap 9(2)(a) [redacted] See also Ngāti Aukiwai and Waimahana marae submissions in "Inclusion/exclusion of specific hapū and Wai claims"	[redacted] • The Whangaroa AoI depicted in the map attached to draft mandate strategy is larger than what is actually covered, replace accordingly • Could give impression the AoI is the same as Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa AoI • Understands even if AoI is amended there will be extensive overlap • Requests full engagement and consultation by TTW and WPH	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Ngāti Matakiri (submission 297)	• Has concerns that AoI covers whenua of Ngāti Matakiri but WPH has not consulted with them	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

	• Notes WPH / Hokianga 27 January 2026 hui and Hokianga hapū rejection to draft mandate strategy because of AoI overlap	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Puketī and Whakanekeneke (Hokianga hapū submissions RE whenua within AoI) (submission 140, 178, 203, 204, 213, 230a, 303, 313, 319, 389, 396)	• A number of submissions regarding the WPH AoI including whenua at Puketī and Whakanekeke, which submitters consider is whenua of Hokianga hapū, Ngāti Hao, Ngāti Toro.	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Exclusion of Wai 1307 (submission 105)	• One submitter states AoI covers areas in which Ngāti Kuta and Wai 1307 claimants have interests	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Whangaroa Papa Hapū draft mandate strategy: submissions analysis and proposed next steps

Theme: Representative structure (Mapuna)				
Issue	**Concern**	[redacted]	[redacted]s	
Some smaller hapū argue there would be inadequate representation for them (submission 136, 138, 216)	• Some submissions suggest small hapū would be unfairly marginalised through a 1 vote per hapū system • Suggestions that one representative per hapū could allow for "hapū hopping and therefore vote stacking" and means less developed hapū are vulnerable to some whānau stacking their votes	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Accountability of Te Wakaminenga could be stronger (submission 56,136, 138, 176)	• Clearer articulation of roles, power and accountability to hapū • Hapū authority, whānau input, and rangatahi perspectives are not central to decision-making • Request for defined mechanisms of engagement and accountability	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Complex withdrawal mechanism	• Appears complex and burdensome • Withdrawal occurs only after inclusion	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

	• Inclusion itself does not require formal consent	[redacted]	[redacted]	
Power concentrated in small group of elected individuals (submission , 279)	• Some submitters felt the proposed structure put too much power into a small group of individuals • Some submitters feel that hapū and claimants won't be heard due to the number of representatives in comparison to number of hapū and wai claims • Only one representative per hapū does not align with tikanga (more needed but number not specified)	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
75% voting threshold for decisions does not align with tikanga (submission 101)	• Some submitters stated a 75% majority voting threshold does not accord with tikanga-based decision making • Consensus is a tikanga-based process • A percentage threshold is a statutory/corporate mechanism rather than tikanga solution	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Similarity of proposed structure to Tūhoronuku (submission 68)	• Process is similar to Tūhoronuku and previous hapū opposition to that process is being disregarded	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Whangaroa Papa Hapū draft mandate strategy: submissions analysis and proposed next steps

		[redacted]		[redacted]
"Te Wakaminenga" name for part of structure (submission 304, 375)	• Some raised appropriateness of using this name	• [redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Recognition of whakapapa and tikanga within structure (submission 56, 176	• Many submitters raised concerns that the representation structure does not reflect hapū whakapapa and tikanga and risks reducing hapū to symbolic role • Call for all processes to be grounded in whakapapa and Mana Motuhake, allowing processes to be designed by hapū for hapū • Draft mandate strategy does not respect whakapapa-based rights and hapū authority as submitters believe the representation structure reshapes hapū authority to fit into Crown approved or designed frameworks/structures • Some submitters believe a more appropriate approach is for hapū to lay out their whakapapa connections and then collectively agree on a fair/tikanga-consistent process before voting	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Dispute resolution to be grounded in tikanga	• Some submitters from Hokianga hapū considered the dispute resolution should be grounded in whakapapa and tikanga	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Theme: Voting process				
Issue	**Concern**	[redacted]	[redacted]	
General	• Mandate through voting does not reflect tikanga • Single voting process does not support diversity of hapū perspectives • Takes away hapū voice and rights	• [redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Voter registration (submission 257)	• Uncertainty around why voters have to be registered	• [redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Lack of clarity	• Not enough clarity in draft mandate strategy as to how the voting process is proposed to work	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Recognition of whakapapa	• Three individual Hokianga submissions (Ngāti Hao) raised concern that the voting process does not adequately recognise whakapapa-based rights and risks excluding whānau and hapū who hold ancestral connections but may not meet imposed criteria	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Theme: Crown process				
Issue	**Concern**	[redacted]	[redacted]	
Submission deadlines	• Many felt submission deadlines were rushed and should not have been held over a busy summer period	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
Rushed timeframes	• Hapū must not be rushed into decisions	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]
"Full and final" settlement	• Concerns over Treaty settlements binding present and future generations by settlement of claims being "full and final"	[redacted]	[redacted]	[redacted]

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

Whangaroa Papa Hapū draft mandate strategy: submissions analysis and proposed next steps

Theme: Tino rangatiratanga				
Issue	**Concern**	**Analysis**	**Next steps**	
•	•	•	TTW	WPH

Theme: Whangaroa Action Group / Whangaroa Claims Action Coalition				
Issue	**Concern**	**Analysis**	**Next steps**	
•	•	•	TTW	WPH

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

58	***He kōrero e pā ana ki - Whangaroa Papa Hapū draft mandate strategy*** Kāhore mātou i te whakaae ki te ara o te Whangaroa Papa hapū draft. Ko tētahi raru nui, ko te tere haere o te taua ara. Me kāti, me āta kōrero ki a mātou kātahi. Koinā tō mātou tono. E mihi ana.	***Comment regarding the Whangaroa Papa Hapū draft mandate strategy*** We do not agree with the pathway proposed by Whangaroa Papa hapū. One major problem is the speed of the process. It should be halted and comprehensive discussions should be had with us first. This is our request, thank you.
72.	He urged o Te Whānau Pani Hui ki te marae Te Wātea o te Pātunga Nō - nā te mea horekau kia tautokohia te mana mōtuhaketanga o ngā hapu Nō - nā te mea horekau kia tautokohia te huarahi ōu tō mātou tikanga Māori	I am a descendant of the whanau pani Hui at Patunga Marae No, because it doesn't support the inherent autonomy of hapu No, because it doesn't support a pathway that is grounded in our tikanga.
151.	He whakapapa nōku ki a Whangaroa. Whanau mā runga ipurangi. Kao! Ka whakahē rawa atu. Whakaaukatingia tēnei hōtaka/āhua/huarahi, kei takahia te mana motuhake o ōku whanaunga, o ōku hapū.	I whakapapa through Whangaroa Family over internet No – it is wrong Stop this current approach / pathway that tramples on the autonomy of my ancestors and my hapū.
174.	Me haere tonu nga mahi ne! Kaua e mea, ko ngaro rātou kua oti. Kua oti kē o rātou, engari nga mea e toi ana, He mahi tonu ana kei mua. Koia tēra te maharatanga kia rātou.	We need to keep going Don't say it's over because they are gone. While they may be gone, there is still work that remains in front of us. That's how we will remember them. In my view.

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

	Toku nei whakaaro rā. Te maha o rātou ia taua e kōrero nei E mahara tonu ana kia rātou.	All of them who we are talking about, We will continue to remember them.
255.	Kare au i te mohio i te tikanga o tena koorero. Engari, I whakahee rawa ahau ki te kaupapa o te karauna, I whakaae ana ki te Hapu Mandate, kia mau tonu maatou i te rangatiratanga	I don't know the specific rules in these circumstances, but I completely object to the purpose of the Crown and I support a hapu mandate to ensure we maintain our autonomy.
283, 284, 285, 287	Kāore au e tautoko i te hanganga māngai e tohua ana i te rautaki manatūtohu nā te mea kāore e whakaata tika ana i te mana o te hapū, kāore hoki e whakaū ana i te whakaaetanga mārama, mōhio hoki a ngā hapū me ngā marae. Me āta whakatā te tukanga kia whai wā te whānau ki te wānanga, ki te mārama, ā, ki te whakatau mō rātou anō. Kāore au e tautoko i te tukanga pōti e tohua ana nā te mea kāore e whakaū ana i te whakatau ā-hapū, ā-whānau hoki, kāore hoki e whai wā, e whai pārongo, e whai rauemi tika ai te iwi kia mārama pai ki ngā pānga o te manatūtohu. E āhua whakaae mā te noho wahangū, mā te iti rānei o te hunga pōti, ā, kāore tēnei e tiaki tika ana i te tino rangatiratanga o ngā hapū. Me āta whakatā te tukanga kia whai wā te whānau me ngā hapū ki te wānanga, ki te mārama, ā, ki te whakatau mō rātou anō.	I don't support the proposed structure outlined in the mandate strategy as it doesn't reflect the inherent authority of hapū, nor does it establish clear and informed consent from hapū and marae. The process should slow down down to give whānau time to consider, understand, and make informed decisions on the process. I don't support the voting process because it doesn't reflect hapū and whānau decision making, and doesn't give people time, information, or resources to fully understand the implications of a mandate. To remain silent or have a small voter turnout would be an acceptance by default and this does not adequately protect the autonomy of hapū. The process should slow down to give whānau time to consider, understand, and make informed decisions on the process.
359.	ngati kura te hapu, no roto mai i a Matauri. He whenua tuku iho nō oku tupuna. He māngai ahau mo tōku whanau, hapū ano hoki. He kaupapa nui, whakaharahara ia mātou o roto o Whangaroa, kua rongo ahau i enei ritenga mai ngā tāngata haereere ki ngā hui kua karangahia e komiti o Whangaroa Papa Hapū.	Ngati Kura, the hapu from within Matauri - land inherited from my ancestors. I am a representative of my whanau and hapū. This is a big thing for all of us across Whangaroa. I have heard these stories from people who have attended meetings called by the Whangaroa Papa Hapū committee.

Released under the Official Information Act 1982

	Kahore ahau e whakaae ki enei ritenga na runga i te whakahaere o te kaupapa. Me puta atu te karanga ki te whānui kia mārama ai te hunga ki ngā patai me ngā kitenga anamata. me puta te karere ki ngā whare katoa o te rohe, uia atu te patai ki ngā uru māori o whangaroa karangahia kia toru ngā hui matua kia āta whakariterite i ngā hiahia a te tokomaha Me titiro hoki ki te hunga whakahaere i enei ritenga, whakaritea he rārangi mahi hei whai ma te roopu, kia kaua e noho whaiti ki ngā marae nahe. 9(2)(a)	I do not agree with this process because of the way it has been run. The call must go out to the public so that people understand the questions and future implications. The message must go out to all homes in the region, to ask all Māori descendants within Whangaroa to three hui to carefully consider the needs of the many Consideration needs to be given for those who run these procedures, to ensure that the invitation is spread widely, and not limited to certain marae. 9(2)(a)
361.	[redacted] Hapu o Ngati Uru i roto o Whangaroa Mai te Papahapu o Whangaroa A e mena ka haere tika te kaupapa i runga i ngā tikanga Hāpu He tautoko te Papahapu o Whangaroa. Nui nga hui karanga mo te take o tenei mandate. I haere au ki te toko turu o nga hui nei mo te marama nei ki nga Hapu o te huarahi mua. Ko marama au.	[redacted] hapu of Ngati Uru i roto o Whangaroa From Papa hapu Yes, if the purpose is followed through hapu tikanga Support Whangaroa Papahapu. There have been numerous hui regarding the mandate. I went to one to hear about the pathway forward to understand.

Released under the Official Information Act 1982