

27 February 2026

REF: OIA 88241

[REDACTED] 9(2)(a)
[REDACTED]

By email: [REDACTED]

Tēnā koe

Re: Your request under the Official Information Act 1982

I refer to your 26 December 2025 request under the Official Information Act 1982 (the **Act**) for the following information:

- a) *"all hui minutes, attendance registers of any and all meetings held by Whangaroa Papa Hapū and/or Te Tari Whakatau to develop the mandate strategy documents, in readiness for consultation with impacted claimants, hapū and marae in the past 18 months;*
- b) *all hapū, marae or claimant consultation meetings minutes/hui reports/attendance registers hosted by Whangaroa Papa Hapū and/or Te Tari Whakatau to inform submissions on the mandate strategy to the Crown;*
- c) *all reports/ministerials/memorandum of Te Tari Whakatau in relation to the development of the Whangaroa Papa Hapū Mandate, and the ultimate decision making to accept the Mandate Strategy and put it out for consultation; and*
- d) *all reports and analysis that the Crown has on impacted claims inc in this mandate strategy and what mitigations have been considered in how to address the specifics of each claim that is proposed for settlement."*

On 21 January the timeframe for responding to your request was extended to 27 February under section 15(a) of the Act.

Response to parts one, three and four of your request

Please find attached at **Appendix One** a table of documents and information released in response to part one, three and four of your request. The majority of hui held between Whangaroa Papa Hapū (**WPH**) and Te Tari Whakatau on the development of the draft mandate strategy were workshops. As such, fulsome notes were not recorded, rather agreed actions were summarised in subsequent correspondence.

Some of this information has been withheld in part under the following sections of the Act:

- 9(2)(a) – to protect the privacy of a natural persons, including the deceased;
- 9(2)(b)(ii) – to protect information where the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information;
- 9(2)(ba)(i) – to protect confidential information that would prejudice the future supply of information;
- 9(2)(h) – to maintain legal professional privilege;
- 9(2)(j) – negotiations sensitive; and
- Out of scope.

Response to part two of your request

In response to part two of your request, Te Tari Whakatau has not been in attendance at any hapū, marae or claimant consultation meetings that WPH may have held, and we do not hold any meeting minutes, hui reports or attendance registers of these meetings. Therefore, we are declining part two of your request under section 18(g) of the Act on the basis that we do not hold the information requested. We recommend reaching out directly to WPH for this information.

The standard submissions period for a draft mandate strategy is 21 days. At WPH's request, Te Tari Whakatau sought submissions over an eight-week period to enable longer engagement. Given this, the submissions period has not been extended further. Any concerns raised through submissions will be addressed by WPH and the Crown before Te Tari Whakatau and Te Puni Kōkiri consider the final mandate strategy for endorsement. If endorsed, following implementation submissions will also be sought on the draft deed of mandate before it is considered by Ministers.

Your request was considered for proactive release in line with Te Tari Whakatau's Official Information Act 1982 proactive release policy. Our assessment determined your request does not fall within the scope for proactive release as its contents are not of interest to the wider public.

You have the right under section 28(3) to seek an investigation and review by the Ombudsman of this decision. Information about this process is available at www.ombudsman.parliament.nz or phone 0800 802 602.

Should you have any queries or require further information, please contact Alex Mellows, Negotiation and Settlement Manager, at Alexandria.Mellows@whakatau.govt.nz.

Nāku noa, nā



Leah Campbell
Director

Appendix One: Table of documents for release

1. All hui minutes, attendance registers of any and all meetings held by Whangaroa Papa Hapū and/or Te Tari Whakatau to develop the mandate strategy documents, in readiness for consultation with impacted claimants, hapū and marae in the past 18 months.				
#	Document description	Extent of information released	Reason for withholding information	Page number(s)
1.a	Table of action items arising from 24-25 July hui. (26 July 2024)	Partially withheld	9(2)(j)	1-3
1.b	Draft hui notes from 13 September 2024 between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH. (17 September 2024)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a) 9(2)(ba)(i) 9(2)(j)	4-5
1.c	File note from planning hui between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH. (30 September 2024)	Partially withheld	9(2)(j)	6
1.d	Follow up email with action points from 17 October hui between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH. (17 October 2024)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a)	7
1.e	Email with meeting summary of weekly catch up between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH. (30 October 2024)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a)	8-9
1.f	Follow up email with action points from 31 October and 1 November hui between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH. (4 November 2024)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a)	10-11
1.g	Follow up email from catch-up hui between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH. (14 November 2024)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a)	12-13
1.h	Draft hui notes from meeting between Te Tari Whakatau, WPH and Crown Forest Rental Trust. (28 February 2025)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a) 9(2)(ba)(i) 9(2)(j)	14-15

			Out of scope	
1.i	Draft hui notes for a meeting between Chief Crown Negotiators and WPH. (6 June 2025)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a) 9(2)(ba)(i) 9(2)(j) Out of scope	16-19
1.j	Summary of discussion between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH. (23 June 2025)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a) 9(2)(b)(ii)	20
1.k	Email thread between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH confirming 14 and 15 July hui and Te Tari Whakatau attendees. (11 -24 July 2025)	Partially withheld	Out of scope	21-22
1.l	Draft 15 July hui notes between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH.	Partially withheld	9(2)(a) 9(2)(j)	23-24
1.m	Follow up email of 15 July hui between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH. (25 July 2025)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a) Out of scope	25-26
1.n	Email to WPH with Te Tari Whakatau attendance list for 18 August hui. (13 August 2025)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a) Out of scope	27
1.o	Summary of conversation and next steps between Te Tari Whakatau and WPH. (9 October 2025)	Released in full		28
1.p	Email to WPH with attendance list for 6 November hui. (5 November 2025)	Partially withheld	9(2)(a) Out of scope	29

3. All reports/ministerials/memorandum of Te Tari Whakataua in relation to the development of the Whangaroa Papa Hapū Mandate, and the ultimate decision making to accept the Mandate Strategy and put it out for consultation.

#	Document description	Extent of information released	Reason for withholding information	Page number(s)
3.a	Weekly status report to Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations. (4 July 2024)	Partially withheld	9(2)(j) Out of scope	1-5
3.b	Weekly status report to Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations. (3 October 2024)	Partially withheld	Out of scope	6-9
3.c	Weekly status report to Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations. (7 November 2024)	Partially withheld	9(2)(j) Out of scope	10-14
3.d	Weekly status report to Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations. (3 July 2025)	Partially withheld	9(2)(j) Out of scope	15-17
3.e	Weekly status report to Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations. (10 July 2025)	Partially withheld	Out of scope	18-21
3.f	Weekly status report to Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations. (21 August 2025)	Partially withheld	9(2)(j) Out of scope	22-24
3.g	Weekly status report to Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations. (30 October 2025)	Partially withheld	9(2)(j) Out of scope	25-28
3.h	Weekly status report to Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations. (20 November 2025)	Partially withheld	9(2)(j) Out of scope	29-32
3.i	Weekly status report to Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations. (4 December 2025)	Partially withheld	Out of scope	33-36

4. All reports and analysis that the Crown has on impacted claims inc in this mandate strategy and what mitigations have been considered in how to address the specifics of each claim that is proposed for settlement.

#	Document description	Extent of information released	Reason for withholding information	Page number(s)
4.a	Memo seeking feedback and advice on assessment of hapū, and Wai claims included in WPH draft hapū grouping proposal. (22 July 2024)	Partially withheld	9(2)(h) 9(2)(j) Out scope	1-32
4.b	Draft memo researching Kai Tangata ancestors and exercised customary rights after 6 February 1840. (29 September 2025)	Partially withheld	Out of scope	33-41

TE ARAWHITI/WHANGAROA PAPA HAPU TASK LIST: ACTION ITEMS ARISING FROM 24-25 JULY HUI

#	Section	Page	Who	Action	Status
1.	All	-	WPH	Confirm or provide Te Reō Māori titles for sections	
2.	Acknowledgements	i	WPH	Provide Te Reo Māori translation for “We remember those that started this work and are no longer with us.”	
3.	Acknowledgements	i	WPH	Provide Te Reo Māori translation for “We continue the efforts to realise a better future for our tamariki and mokopuna, creating a foundation for a new relationship with the Crown underpinned by He Wakaputanga o te Rangatiratanga o Niu Tirenī and Te Tiriti o Waitangi.”	
4.	Preamble	1	WPH	Provide mihi for start of section	
5.	Preamble	1	WPH	Provide quote/whakataukī for section that will go after mihi	
6.	Preamble	1	TA	Insert footnote reference to Wai 1040 breach concession	✓
7.	Preamble	1	TA	Insert footnote explanation of Ngā Umu Tapu	✓
8.	Preamble	1	TA	Insert footnote explanation of Maranga Mai [Also added to Glossary]	✓
9.	Summary	3	TA	Review and update summary to reflect outcomes from 24-25 July hui Will complete post 15 August hui	WIP
10.	Summary	3	WPH	Working paper on decision-making processes in accordance with tikanga	
11.	Summary	5	TA	Add to timing section bullet points covering next steps in the process related to mandate strategy, voting, deed of mandate, recognition, terms of negotiation	✓
12.	Summary	5	TA	To finalise simple diagram of phases between hapu group confirmation and negotiations commencing and annotate with dates and “we are here” for hapu group stage	✓
13.	Who we are	6	TA	Provide a point to opening para re context of early contact	✓
14.	Who we are	6	WPH	Confirm or provide alternative to Rauru-iti [see proposed new text]	
15.	Who we are	6	WPH	To confirm which version of the rohe as defined by the korero of Arapata Taniora	

16.	Who we are	6	WPH	Provide an English translation of the rohe as defined by the korero of Arapata Taniora	
17.	Our challenge	8	TA	To add footnote source for the concession that WPH are virtually landless	✓
18.	Our journey to date	13	TA	Add more to 2017 section re Maranga Mai to give a sense of time plus reason behind bullet points.	✓
19.	Where we are going	17	WPH	To confirm whether a process map showing WPH and TA processes aligned should be included (i.e. ngā umu tapu and Treaty settlement) Note: Te Arawhiti has drafted a suggested table based on earlier powerpoint slide	
20.	The proposed structure	21	TA	Review summary table and update for consistency with outcomes from 24-25 July hui	WIP
21.	The proposed structure	21	TA	Add in relational arrows to summary table	✓
22.	The proposed structure	22	WPH	To confirm whether they want a toolkit and what it should include	
23.	The proposed structure	22	WPH	To confirm approach for decision-making (consensus/voting) for issues only affecting some but not all hapū.	
24.	The proposed structure	26	WPH	To consider issue of outside observers at hui-a-hapū for determining process and representatives for Te Wakaminenga	
25.	The proposed structure	28	WPH	 9(2)(j)	
26.	The proposed structure	30	WPH	To consider voting on withdrawing a mandate from entity (i.e who should vote and number).	
27.	The proposed structure	31	WPH	To consider and advise what should be the dispute resolution process for disputes within and between hapū	
28.	How we will negotiate	33	TA	Consider other examples of redress that may help illustrate table	
29.	How we will negotiate	34	TA	Insert revised map once area of interest confirmed Map inserted into appendix	✓
30.	How we will negotiate	34	TA	Confirm numbers of land and properties within area once area of interest confirmed Aol map confirmed and Te Arawhiti will need to update numbers of land and properties in table (to be completed week of 26 August)	WIP

31.	How we will negotiate	37	TA	Provide explanatory notes around each section shown under Phase 3 (from AIP to implementation)	
32.	How we will negotiate	38	WPH	To consider each of hapū databases and if each should have their own database? Who will do the work to establish these?	
33.	Where to from here	39	WPH	To provide advice on forward plan to inform section which includes mandate hui, resolution to be voted on, advertising	
34.	FAQs	40-44	TA	To update following 24-25 July and provide answers on outstanding questions	✓
35.	Glossary	45	TA	Add terms to glossary	✓
36.	Glossary	45	WPH	To advise of additional terms to go in glossary	
37.	Appendix X: Tikanga Hakahaere	47	WPH	To advise what the highlighted dot point should be rewritten as (relates to diverse perspectives under Tikanga Kotahitanga)	
38.	Appendix X: Urban representation	48	TA	Rewrite based on discussions and body of report Deleted	✓
39.	Appendices	52	TA	To add material related to: • All communications between WPH and Minister • Mandate process map from toolbox • Crown assets in the Whangaroa region (total number of core and non-core assets) — Inserted Map and put total number in main body of report (numbers will need to be updated to reflect revised AOI) • Elements of the Crown apology: what elements have been included in Crown apologies in previous settlements	WIP

WIP = work in progress

From: [Lecky, Peter](#)
To: [Mullane-Ronaki, Maraea](#); [Woodmore, Keawe](#); [Anderson, Tania](#); [Moore, Kim](#)
Cc: [Tapa-Mosen, Mahalia](#)
Subject: Notes from WPH hui 13/09
Date: Tuesday, 17 September 2024 11:31:50 am
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

Kia ora koutou, here's a rough cut of my notes from Friday. Most of the day was spent workshopping the report, but I've captured some of our initial conversations as well as some suggestions from WPH around structure and framing that aren't necessarily captured in our report edits.

Nā Pete

Hui with WPH 13 September 2024

Bizspace, Kerikeri

Attendees

WPH – Anaru Kira, Bill Hori, Pat Tauroa, Raiha Fredricsen, [REDACTED] (via Teams) 9(2)(a)

TA – Kim Moore, Peter Lecky, Tania Anderson

Discussion

- WPH hoping for a finished and published copy of Ngā Takenga mai (the report) in advance of regional hui, likely to be held in Nov-Dec. Need hard copies.
- Only \$142 of claimant funding remaining. Need to align funding with CFRT.
- [REDACTED] 9(2)(ba)(i) and 9(2)(j)
- [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
- [REDACTED]
- The report is a draft document for Whangaroa to consider – plans cannot be considered final until election/voting process.
- [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
- [REDACTED]
- Suggestions from WPH members re the report included:
 - The report should be a smaller document
 - [REDACTED] 9(2)(ba)(i) and 9(2)(j)
 - Needs to answer the question – what is this document?
 - Reframe – start with the key point – hapū choose who will be the PSGE. Then work backwards from that point.
 - "This is a proposed direction, but that may change."
 - What will the end of the process look like? What does it look like post-settlement? Vision for the future.
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED] 9(2)(ba)(i) and 9(2)(j)
 - "What do you want to get out of the process and how do you expect to get it?" [REDACTED] – we need people to participate to make it happen. We can only have a good process if everyone is involved.
 - Information to put up front – what we have asked the Crown ("what will we get?"), and the information we have gathered to answer that question since.
 - [REDACTED] 9(2)(ba)(i) and 9(2)(j)
 - [REDACTED]
 - Is the report an explanation of the process or a discussion document. How do I know my voice will stay intact?
 - Setting up a review group could be useful.

- The language used to describe the process and its outcomes is a point for negotiation.
- A focus should remain on claimants – what do you want from your claim? How do you want it managed? Need to consider all levels – claimants, whānau and hapū.
- The first part of the report should be a “warmup” which answers three key questions:
 - Why should we enter negotiations?
 - What could we get from this?
 - How could we get there?
- Also to include at the start – statement of issues, ko wai tātou.
- Expand glossary and perhaps put up front.
- Explanatory note for name (Ngā Takenga mai).
- Need to ensure messaging is consistent across all marae when report goes out for consultation.



Peter Lecky ([he/him](#))

ANALYST | TE KĀHUI WHAKATAU

DDI: +64 4 474 0291

WEB: tearawhiti.govt.nz

The Office for Māori Crown Relations – Te Arawhiti

Level 3, Justice Centre, 19 Aitken Street, SX10111, Wellington 6011

Filenote: Planning hui between Te Arawhiti and Whangaroa Papa Hapū, 30 September 2024, 12:30-2:30pm

1. WPH noted their intention to hold two hui before Christmas – a regional hui in Whangaroa, and another hui in Auckland. WPH intend to share the contents of Ngā Takenga mai at the hui.
2. TA noted that if a draft mandate strategy is agreed with the Crown in advance of these proposed hui, an additional \$50,000 could be released.
3. TA suggested work begins on separating out elements of Ngā Takenga mai that could form the foundation for a draft mandate strategy. TA noted they could be two separate but complementary documents, one based around aspirations and one the more technical aspects.
4. WPH noted they intend to reengage with the Ngā Umu Tapu terms of reference group.
5. WPH requested TA provide examples of mandate strategies from other groups to understand what is involved.
6. WPH identified two tasks they need to complete between now and the regional hui:
 - a. To understand the content they will be sharing; and
 - b. To follow up with the terms of reference group.
7. WPH noted they want as many people as possible involved in this work
8. TA noted the regional hui doesn't need to be about the mandate strategy, but agreeing a draft with the Crown in advance will maintain momentum through the milestones.
9. TA noted WPH will need to think about how mandate hui will be organised – multiple hui for each of the 29 hapū would be unrealistic, so would they organise it by marae, or more regionally.
10. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
11. The parties agreed to meet again on 8 October, 11am–1pm.

Agreed action points as follows:

Action	Lead	By
Send example mandate strategies for WPH consideration	Te Arawhiti	30 September
Prepare an initial draft mandate strategy for WPH consideration	Te Arawhiti	
Prepare a list of questions to be answered by WPH	Te Arawhiti	
Consider how first \$50,000 of funding will be allocated	Whangaroa Papa Hapū	8 October

From: [Lecky, Peter](#)
To: [Moore, Kim](#); [REDACTED]; "[Kaiwhakahaere](#)"; [REDACTED] [ptauroa](#); "[w.hori](#)"; [REDACTED]
Cc: [Woodmore, Keawe](#); [Mullane-Konaki, Maraea](#); [Anderson, Tania](#)
Subject: RE: Additional information on the mandate strategy phase
Date: Thursday, 17 October 2024 2:46:03 pm
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)

9(2)(a)

Kia ora koutou
Thank you for your time today – we felt it was another productive discussion and that we made some good progress on planning out the next phase of work. In addition to Kim’s email attaching a draft version of Ngā Takenga mai, below is a brief recap of what we covered in our catch-up and some action points for both Te Arawhiti and the Papa Hapū to work on over the next fortnight.
We discussed:

- the information and examples Kim sent through about the mandate strategy phase, noting you might like to consider any similarities or differences to what you envisage for Whangaroa;
- the Ngā Umu Tapu process – how it aligns with the mandating process, when the Terms of Reference group could become involved in the mandating process, and what their role would be;
- the different aspects of the mandating process that will need planning – you noted personnel will be a particular challenge (in terms of finding the skills you will need locally, funding contractors with limited resources, and/or upskilling current members of WPH for required work)

We noted that in the mandating process there are lots of moving parts – what we might call “workstreams” – and that breaking down the work to be done into different categories might be helpful. Te Arawhiti can provide support for each of the workstreams, to varying extents, and we can discuss this as we go. We’ll also incorporate these workstreams into the overall workplan spreadsheet. Some of the workstreams we identified include:

- Identifying people – what skills will you need (e.g. events planning, legal support), and who in the community could contribute?
- Organising hui
- Preparing material for engagement and mandate hui –including Ngā Takenga mai, the Draft Mandate Strategy, and anything else that would be useful to help share the key messages (e.g. a slideshow, one-pagers on key topics)
- Presentation/speaking at hui – who is best positioned to deliver these messages, facilitate discussion, and answer questions?
- Overall project management and tracking
- Coordinating the voting process at voting hui (depending on how WPH want this to occur)

We agreed, at our next weekly catch-up on 24 October, we would discuss what we would like to cover/achieve at our next hui a-tinana on 31 October–1 November.
We also agreed some actions points for the next couple of weeks:

Task	Lead	By	Notes
Prepare an initial draft mandate strategy for Papa Hapū feedback	Te Arawhiti	31 October	
Progress Ngā Takenga Mai	Papa Hapū	31 October	• We can workshop it more at our hui on 31 October–1 November if you like
Update/revise workplan spreadsheet	Te Arawhiti	31 October	
Look at examples of other mandate strategies (from Kim’s email)	Papa Hapū	31 October	• What do you like and might work for Whangaroa? • What do you not like, and doesn’t look like Whangaroa? • What is missing – what is important to Whangaroa and isn’t represented?

Any questions don’t hesitate to get in touch.
Ngā mihi



Peter Lecky (he/him)
ANALYST | TE KĀHUI WHAKATAU
DDI: +64 4 474 0291
WEB: [tearawhiti.govt.nz](#)
The Office for Māori Crown Relations – Te Arawhiti
Level 3, Justice Centre, 19 Aitken Street, SX10111, Wellington 6011

From: [Raiha Fredricsen via Otter.ai](#)
To: [Moore, Kim](#)
Subject: Meeting Summary for Whangaroa Papa Hapū - Te Arawhiti Weekly Check-in
Date: Wednesday, 30 October 2024 10:16:41 am
Attachments: [image-0.png](#)



[View in Otter →](#)

Whangaroa Papa Hapū - Te Arawhiti Weekly Check-in

Oct 29, 1:25PM (27:43)

The Whangaroa Papa Hapū team discussed the upcoming meetings and attendance issues. Robin and [REDACTED] were expected to join from the office, but some members, including Bill and [REDACTED], were unavailable. The team reviewed the attendance and participation for the previous meeting and discussed the draft report and mandate strategy. They emphasized the need for a clear agenda and draft documents to avoid miscommunication. The team also planned for regional meetings and HAP elections, ensuring all materials and communication strategies were in place. They agreed to finalize the agenda and send it out, with a start time set for 10 AM the next day.

9(2)(a)

[See full summary →](#)

Action items

-  Send a draft agenda for the upcoming meetings on Thursday and Friday to the group.
-  Ensure the group is familiar with the draft mandate strategy document before the regional hui.
-  Schedule meetings with CFRP on November 18 and 19.

[See 6 action items →](#)

Screenshot of meeting



[See 33 images →](#)

Raiha is automating meeting notes. Try it for your own meetings!



[Get started for free →](#)

Otter.ai, 800 W El Camino Real, Suite 170 Mountain View, CA 94040

[Email settings](#) [Unsubscribe](#)

From: Anaru Kira
 To: Moore, Kim
 Cc: [REDACTED]; Kaiwhakahaere; w hori; [REDACTED]; ptauroa; [REDACTED]; Woodmore, Keawe; Anderson, Tania; Mullane-Ronaki, Maraea; Lecky, Peter
 Subject: Re: Summarising our hui last week and next steps
 Date: Monday, 4 November 2024 6:02:31 pm

9(2)(a)

Thanks Kim

On Mon, 4 Nov 2024, 5:41 pm Moore, Kim, <Kim.Moore@tearawhiti.govt.nz> wrote:

Aroha mai – just fixing a typo

“Over the course of both days, WPH discussed their views on the meaning(s) of tino rangatiratanga and how those could be expressed in Ngā Takenga mai.”

From: Moore, Kim <Kim.Moore@tearawhiti.govt.nz>
 Sent: Monday, November 4, 2024 5:31 PM
 To: Anaru Kira [REDACTED]
 Cc: [REDACTED]; Kaiwhakahaere [REDACTED]; ptauroa [REDACTED]; Woodmore, Keawe [REDACTED]; Anderson, Tania <Tania.Anderson@tearawhiti.govt.nz>; Mullane-Ronaki, Maraea <Maraea.Mullane-Ronaki@tearawhiti.govt.nz>; Lecky, Peter <Peter.Lecky@tearawhiti.govt.nz>
 Subject: RE: Summarising our hui last week and next steps

9(2)(a)

Kia ora Anaru

Thank you for noting that. When we send through the updated version of Ngā Takenga mai we will send a version that shows the variations and changes you asked us to make. We will also send a clean version which will be the most up to date. I have also amended the text in this email chain below to reflect your point. I added:

“Over the course of both days, WPH discussed their views on the meaning(s) of tino rangatiratanga and how those could be expressed in Ngā Takenga mai.”

Let me know if that's okay.

Ngā mihi

Kim

From: Anaru Kira [REDACTED]
 Sent: Monday, November 4, 2024 5:23 PM
 To: Moore, Kim <Kim.Moore@tearawhiti.govt.nz>
 Cc: [REDACTED]; Kaiwhakahaere [REDACTED]; ptauroa [REDACTED]; Woodmore, Keawe [REDACTED]; Anderson, Tania <Tania.Anderson@tearawhiti.govt.nz>; Mullane-Ronaki, Maraea <Maraea.Mullane-Ronaki@tearawhiti.govt.nz>; Lecky, Peter <Peter.Lecky@tearawhiti.govt.nz>
 Subject: Re: Summarising our hui last week and next steps

9(2)(a)

Thanks Kim

We think it is important that the variation we made to the Takenga draft are noted, before they are lost in the words.

i.e Principle/s, means fairness and Justice, Tino Rangatiratanga means, "absolute Sovereignty" etc

On Mon, 4 Nov 2024, 3:30 pm Moore, Kim, <Kim.Moore@tearawhiti.govt.nz> wrote:

Kia ora koutou,

It was great to see you all last week. Thank you for inviting us to your rohe. We felt we had some really productive discussions and made good progress made on both Ngā Takenga Mai as well as working through the Mandate Strategy template. Thanks again for your patience with us as we covered some of the more technical aspects of the Mandate Strategy template. Also, how good was that kai?!

Below is a brief summary of what we discussed over the course of the two days. Let me know if we've missed anything.

Thursday 31 October:

- The purpose of Ngā Takenga Mai - you explained that this is your document for your people. In essence it is your vision for Whangaroa and the future, and discusses what Whangaroa could get through seeking redress from the Crown for its historical breaches of Te Tiriti o Waitangi.
- The technical aspects of what was required under a Mandate Strategy - you provided feedback on particular elements of the strategy, using the questions from the template and previous examples as a basis for discussion.

Friday 1 November:

- **Ngā Takenga Mai** – we made various changes and additions, and discussed what further changes were needed.
- **Next steps** and your focus on holding a **regional hui on 30 November**.

Over the course of both days, WPH discussed their views on the meaning(s) of tino rangatiratanga and how those could be expressed in Ngā Takenga mai.

Next steps:

In order to meet your goal of holding a regional hui on 30 November we agreed that completing Ngā Takenga Mai was the priority. Key deadlines are:

- 6 November: TA provides an updated draft of Ngā Takenga Mai to WPH
- 11 November: WPH advertises regional hui on WPH social media and other channels
- 18 November: WPH uploads Ngā Takenga Mai to website/social media

We agreed the following action points:

Task	Lead	By	Notes
Revise Ngā Takenga Mai	TA	6 November	To be discussed at 7 November hui with TA (2pm)
Provide additional names for acknowledgement section	Robyn	6 November	
Translate rohe description in <i>Ko wai tātou</i> section	Anaru	6 November	
Finalise Ngā Takenga Mai	WPH/TA	11 November	Finalise based on any feedback from WPH
Fill out mandate strategy template based on WPH feedback	TA	13 November	WPH to advise whether it accurately reflects views
Produce draft PowerPoint presentation for Whangaroa regional hui	TA	13 November	

As per usual, if you've got any questions or we've missed anything let me know.

Ngā mihi nui

Kim

From: [Kaiwhakahaere](#)
To: [Moore, Kim](#)
Cc: [REDACTED] [Bill Hori](#); [ptauroa](#); [Raiha Fredricsen](#); [Anaru Kira](#)
Subject: Kōrero from this morning's catch-up; Amendments to Ngā Takena Mai
Date: Thursday, 14 November 2024 2:45:59 pm

9(2)(a)

Kia ora Kim,

I'll leave you to send this on to whomever needs it at your end.

From this morning brief kōrero, I've noted that:

1. Regional Hui 30th November

- confirmed times as 3pm - 5pm
- Newspaper ads have appeared today in Northland Age & Northern Advocate
- Hui expenses to be funded by WPH Milestone payment, with the exception of some printing which TA can do hard-copies of (what is final date for this to work please?)
- Te Arawhiti kaimahi will be available if required (later conversation confirmed that Tania will be available on the phone, although at a Hui further north in the morning)
- WPH are enquiring about Security with Māori Wardens
- TA exploring further statistical data e.g. Comms Connectivity, location of Whangaroa uri

2. First Draft Power Point for Regional Hui

- Acknowledged
- Various amendments requested and confirmed
- Noted Final copy not required to be confirmed till after 18th Nov
- Noted TA could provide hard copies if required

3. Website Statement (Deferred till after 18th November)

4. Updated Mandate Strategy Document

- TA will have it prepared for review by WPH early next week

5. Ngā Takenga Mai

- TA unable to return in person next week, but can meet online
- WPH made further amendments (post Hui) and have following queries (for you) and actions (for us):
 - Mihimihi i te reo Māori will be drafted and inserted on inside Cover Page (Pat to provide)
 - Meaning of Ngā Takenga Mai requires articulating (Anaru to provide)
 - Contents Pages - please reduce to ONE page (TA)

- Reference for 'Redress' needs to be moved forward to initial appearance of kupu
- Full list of Claimants will be added as an Appendices (Robyn)
- Further amendments will be done by WPH collectively next Tuesday, and then forwarded to you in preparation for meeting later in the week.

I think that's all for now.

Ngā mihi,
Robyn

In closing Kim, could you please confirm in writing, that Ngā Takenga Mai does not require Ministerial approval, as it is a document intended for Whangaroa hapū and whānau. The Mandate Strategy Document does required this.

Robyn Tauroa
Project Coordinator
Whangaroa Papa Hapū
8 Waikare Avenue, PO Box 96, Kaeo 0448
W. 094050825; [REDACTED]
Office Open Tuesdays / Thursdays 10am – 4pm
All other days by Appointment only

9(2)(a)

From: [Anderson, Tania](#)
To: [Moore, Kim](#); [Mullane-Ronaki, Maraea](#)
Cc: [Woodmore, Keawe](#); [Mellows, Alexandria](#)
Subject: DRAFT NOTES Hui with WPH with CFRT
Date: Friday, 28 February 2025 12:20:48 pm
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

Kia ora koutou

Here are my notes from hui with Whangaroa Papa Hapu on Wednesday last week. Apologies for the lateness. I just turned off my laptop again and restarted. Hope these tech issues work themselves out with a few updates.

In attendance: Whaea Patricia Tauroa, [REDACTED], Robyn Tauroa, [REDACTED] Anaru Kira, [REDACTED] [REDACTED], Tania Anderson (TA)
 Hui commenced 230 with karakia (Matua Anaru)

9(2)(a)

Matua Anaru

Purpose of the hui, provide an update on regional hui and drafting of mandate strategy [REDACTED]

Out of scope

- [REDACTED]
- Feedback from regional hui was that Ngā Takenga Mai lacks whakawhanaungatanga
- A lot of work to be done here
- What does it mean in the latest scheme of things

Whaea Pat

- Drawback is that we haven't been able to maintain contact with alot of our claimants who are our whānau over the last few years.
- Theres been no impetus to draw them in to be part of it. Been trying to keep ourselves going as WPH so we have some positive outcomes.
- Whanaungatanga has become stretched in this process.

Anaru

- [REDACTED]
- No matter the money time or energy.
- Interested to hear next week what happens in the TK hui.
- [REDACTED]. What are other groups doing like Ngati Hine? It will be good to have a listen to what they are doing and what it actually means for Ngāpuhi at large.
- Basically our people agree in principle with all the things in Ngā Takenga mai but not the whanaungatanga.
- We have some strong advocates amongst our people but they have given us that job – translate Nga Takenga mai into whakawhanaungatanga.

9(2)(ba)(i)
and 9(2)(j)

- [REDACTED]

9(2)(ba)(i)
and 9(2)(j)

Whaea Pat

[when in negotiations] Look at the crown assets. [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] Make the request and confirm it every time we talk about it.

Out of scope

Robyn Tauroa

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

Out of scope

[REDACTED]

Out of scope

- Question for Kim: does WPH need to publish nga takenga mai on the TA website and does the crown need to endorse it?

Matua Anaru

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

Out of scope

Whaea Pat

Whangaroa is Ngāpuhi as well.

Robyn

Is there a map to show the detail under the steps in page 38 (of Nga Takenga Mai)

Whaea Pat

In the early stages of Whangaroa Rūnanga, those who had whakapapa to Whangaroa Ngāti Kahu and Ngāpuhi. Rūnanga accepted those who identified as Ngāpuhi ki Whangaroa and Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa – joined together Whangaroa Ngāpuhi Ngāti Kahu whānui.

Robyn

[REDACTED]

9(2)(ba)(i)
and 9(2)(j)

Anaru

Without identifying we havent moved on the whanaungatanga stuff. It is required though. [REDACTED]

9(2)(ba)(i)

[REDACTED]

9(2)(a)

Its in development at a point. Fresh ears to this whole assumption and accusations, its been hard work to get to this point.

Whaea Pat

All of this is whanaungatanga – it is the whole package. The better side of whanaungatanga is looking at the good in everyone.

Anaru

Seeing something and allowing it to happen in that space

Whaea Pat

[REDACTED]

9(2)(ba)(i)
and 9(2)(j)

[REDACTED]

Out of scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Hui between:	Chief Crown Negotiators and Whangaroa Papa Hapū
Date:	6 June 2025, 11am – 12.20pm
Venue:	BizSpace Kerikeri
Attendees:	Whangaroa Papa Hapū: Anaru Kira, Hori, Whaea Pat Tauroa, Robyn Tauroa, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Te Tari Whakatau: Tania Anderson (Principal Advisor) Tui Marsh (Director Chief Executive Te Tari Whakatau) Chief Crown Negotiators: Tā Rob McLeod, Brian Dickey
Apologies:	nil

9(2)(a)

Karakia/Mihimihi 11.15am

Matua Anaru Kira Whangaroa Papa Hapū (WPH) welcomed Chief Crown Negotiators Tā Rob McLeod and Brian Dickey (CCNs) to Te Kerikeri.

Opening remarks

Matua Anaru – Speaking in capacity as Chair (potentially until 28 October 2025) he thanked CCNs for the time and effort.

Matua Hori – WPH was established to get hearings through and here now to see it through to consider redress. WPH hope to transition to our people.

Whaea Pat – Didn't break the speed limit but great to be here. Acknowledged the CCNs and the journey of WPH up until now.

[REDACTED]

9(2)(a)

Robyn Tauroa – Introduced herself and her role as kaiwhakahaere for WPH for 20 years.

[REDACTED] – [REDACTED]

Hui discussion

Matua Anaru – Quickly take you through a conversation. We need to run through that before we start talking about where we are going. We have basically been around since the signing of TOW.

WPH has been deeply involved since hearings and all throughout. More importantly what was reported (in hearings) stage one and stage 2 where Whangaroa has landed us. Those people who were to lead would have been elected as an organisation subsequently it failed [TIMA]. The outcome of that was the report in 2017 where hapū took the process to the Tribunal about hapū now having a stake in the process. The option was for hapū to be in the process or out of the process. What evolved is both parties worked through the process with assistance of Finlayson and it was helpful in the context of the Maranga Mai report. You may think 'one picture fits all' is best for Ngāpuhi but that process absolutely failed. [REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

When Labour was elected Minister Little initiated the Tūhono process with a wish and rush - and of course that fell over as well. [REDACTED]

9(2)(ba)
(i)

As you all know, we have a level of support to achieve that. We are doing things quite differently instead of following the process. We could develop the strategy but if you ask Māori out there what would you aspire to? It would be see a doctor, look after my kids, feed my kids - that's the reality for them. We have collated that information and drafted a DOM strategy (not yet completed) and we are in the process of developing a significant webinar programme for our people.

We discovered the same people were coming to hui and we were not reaching the greater younger population so we explored that through a zoom hui series and it worked - we reached the younger gen. [REDACTED] the webinar programme is 15 weeks where we will strike at different age groups. 18-35 is the target group with the hope we will reach that younger generation at a wider level. Once we feel comfortable with that and our people feel comfy it will work but no sooner.

9(2)(ba)(i)

[REDACTED] advice was if they [REDACTED] were to do it again, they would have better comms otherwise it will be a battlefield. That's what we have planned and undertaken and committed to doing. Before 28 October this year the body will be formally elected. That process we are still working through. This will be different to the general pathway. All the rest have gone through electing their people one way or another and undertaken a general pākeha process. We in Whangaroa decided that that [process] will not meet our threshold. We have been given responsibility to develop this and come 28 October we will be just transitioning the process into an elected body.

9(2)(a)

We've don't a lot of exploratory work. Have some numbers and figures in relation to area of land the govt may consider with your generosity with all cattle on them and viable. We have some idea of what that looks like. Where we are going to is not only planning that process but putting the process out there - like it or lump it. We need people to start thinking of all the options and know what the obstacles are. We of course have had meetings and want to have some idea of what we are striving to achieve. It's much wider than that and we need more comms with you and the team about what our vision is for Whangaroa and this is unique in its own way but we are also aware of the complexities of it.

That's a discussion for another time about what we aspire to because it's not only about restoration but achieving what govt was meant to do and not done. Sometimes these delays are of benefit. The Attorney General's report a few weeks back in which he said these settlements are failing but it's the parts of the govt that are not upholding the settlements that's failing. Revisit through the legal system so thinking is not just take what's offered but what they will continue to do to build the relationship that's important. The numbers go from 3-6 % we will not be rushing - we want to discuss what will happen to rebuild. No Māori wants to bankrupt the country but there must be a pathway where that deficit is accomplished over time.

There are many aspects to consider before meeting you at the table we are open to what we think and what we believe. [REDACTED]

Out of
scope

How are you going to help us now? That's really important.

Tā Rob - Ngāti Porou both parents – the Coromandel branch of Ngāti Porou my dad's a Tamahori in 28th changed to McLeod to get a job. Ma wai ra was dedicated to my grandfather Tamehana Hori. I am trained as an Accountant and Lawyer so I have those skills as a technical person and other half running firms. I was asked by Api Mahuika around 2008 to lead negotiations. I was the lead negotiator for the settlement of the second largest deal in 3 years. Dynamic difference to Ngāpuhi. Within fisheries settlement I was a member of TOKM in second chapter under Shane Jones after Sir Tipene.

Those two experiences have given me a lot of specific experience. Case studies in this area are only just a few of many there are learnings how they have evolved through time. They are typically unique not all the same Lessons are an important technique.

Known Min Goldsmith for a long time. Have a personal relationship so been asked to help on the govt side. Ill be looking at this from both sides of the coin. Both Brian and I have whakapapa I think we will make a great team. I have a job to do basically keep my customers happy both iwi and govt. Assist both parties – we [CCNs] are not decision makers, but we can advise on both sides to give advice. With our experience govt will listen to Brian and I.

We met last week with DPM and PM. CCN is a separate cog in the wheel from officials. Decision makers must get cabinet agreement and everybody has got a job in a big machine. If I were to look back, I would like to be remembered for getting a job done on time where everyone believes they were better off than when they started.

We want to ensure you agree to the deed and be happy with it. What happens afterwards post experience sometimes if it was bad then they think the settlement was bad but that's not always the case. How can we do something better? As chairman of commercial board of NP I get to see how it's working. There are often times I'm saying I wish we had done it differently but there's always learning. I would like to be effective to ensure you get what you need. Whakarongo Tuini Ngawai fave waiata.

Matua Anaru [REDACTED]

9(2)(ba)(i)

[REDACTED]. Proven to be the case in article by Attorney General that the promise to retain a good relationship has not necessarily been maintained. We have investigated quite a few settlements and from there we have learned and taken some of those things about how we could deal with Whangaroa because we don't have factories etc

Our economy was farming and fishing and our whole economy got wiped out with the quota system. All the farms that people had managed they were unable to achieve anything with those restrictions, the inequities and no matter what we do or don't agree to, we will have inequities.

Now saying how will you help us. We've outlined the pathway. We need availability of resources to achieve a mandate. We are here to gather all that information and to help distribute it out in the best way we can. Its being done with our own energy.

We are asking you both as Advisors to the Minister about what we have asked for financially until 28 October. Outlined for us 200k. We have spent 50k weeks ago and are under own resources – its not a good way to operate. However, we come to discuss and plan with Te Tari Whakatau about what needs to be considered. 2-3 weeks ago, need \$245k to bring people in, to push up promotion of our webinar programme. We think its critical to the success of our mandating process because it intends to get the buy-in of people, we have the right quality of people we have to work through with them.

This is what is best. People who are well qualified are the best people but they are not a one conversation it's a continued conversation maintenance about what we have planned.

Brian Dickey - Our role is so much more elevated once you are mandated. As CCNs we can discuss further I guess for Rob and I we have a more limited role until there are mandates. What we would like to know is in terms of mandating processes are you bringing officials along with you cause at some point in time Ministers will be asked to endorse the mandate strategy. This is a necessary step at law that can't be avoided and while there are some things that are flexible, some are bottom lines and we can't ignore the Waitangi Tribunal's recommendations in terms of mandating. We need to get it right. Are you bringing officials along? The budget is tight but its outcomes associated with mandating. In an ideal world with public purse spend public money you'd pay money after outcomes. Maybe we can get ahead of it if we can see the outcome coming and we can be confident about an outcome. Please do keep officials onboard best you can.

Tui – we are looking at how we can facilitate that process and streamline. There are some things that the Crown needs to be satisfied with including the DOM strategy and the DOM. Our role is to get it endorsed by ministers. Like CCN has communicated I know teams are working hard. Up front whānau there might be a bit of talking past each other. Are we clear that these are the non-negotiables. There are some things that need to be done.

Matua Anaru – Its important we are open because otherwise we get opposition at the other end. While you all work in different spaces, thats where Whangaroa is concerned we like to talk to one person. Limited resources get thrown out of focus simple things makes our life a lot more palatable. Not asking for miracles but would be helpful. Appreciate what you've done - thank you for your time.

Closing remarks

Whaea Pat – We need to keep in touch with Ministers.

CCNs -When you talk with us, you're talking to Ministers. There must be other ministers who give Support.

[missed this part]

Brian Dickey- Other iwi groups are open to meeting with you too, including Ngāti Whatua and Waikato.

Tui- There is a group that you might want to tap into Te Māia o Kaharoa. As you know also other groups are progressing - Te Waimate-Taiamai is starting to come through and is about to submit a mandate proposal.

Matua Anaru– We have the right skills in Whangaroa but don't expect them to work for nothing either must be connected in that way. Hui recently DOC and they were talking about selling all their surplus lands.

Brian Dickey – better at the table as a whole Crown than compartmentally

Matua Anaru – We told them they need to landbank all Ngāpuhi lands.

Hui closed with karakia by Matua Anaru 12.20pm

From: [Kaiwhakahaere](#)
To: [Moore, Kim](#)
Cc: [REDACTED] [Finance](#); [Bill Hori](#); [Anderson, Tania](#); [Raiha Fredricsen](#) 9(2)(a)
Subject: Summary of Files shared and Phone Discussion today
Date: Monday, 23 June 2025 4:05:57 pm

Kia ora Kim,

Just following up with a quick email, to summarise what has occurred today.

Firstly, our Response document (responding to Te Tari Whakatau Initial Responses to Draft Mandate Strategy dated 16 April 2025) has been forwarded to you. Noted that it has been sent in Word, rather than PDF.

In addition, a Hapu Representation document has been forwarded to you (again in Word). The Hapu Representation document is referred to in the Response document, and summarises information around the Whangaroa Region, Mandate Holder, Collective Forum and Human Resources.

Secondly, in regards to our Phone Conversation, you have acknowledged the release of \$20k for the purpose of developing a Trust Deed, which [REDACTED] has been engaged to compile. 9(2)(b)(ii)

You have also acknowledged that Te Tari Whakatau are currently considering the release of \$25k. This is a portion of the next \$50k Tranche payment, which, in accordance with your letter dated 5 March 2025, was not due to be released until our Draft Mandate Strategy was agreed and released for public consultation.

However, an understanding has been reached, whereby representatives from both organisations will meet and collaboratively re-design the Milestone requirement, to enable our continued commitments to fulfilling our Ngā Takenga Mai process.

I trust this summary is agreeable.

Regards



Mā Whangaroa a Whangaroa e kōrero

Robyn Tauroa Kaiwhakahaere

e: kaiwhakahaere@whangaroapapahapu.org.nz
 w: <https://whangaroapapahapu.org.nz/>
 m: + 64 27 2777942

Monday 14 July - (BizSpace, Kerikeri)	
Te Tari Whakatau attendees: Leah Campbell (Director), Keawe Woodmore, Kim Moore	
Time	Agenda
1.00 – 2.00 pm	- How Whangaroa Papa Hapū and Te Tari Whakatau work together moving forward
Tuesday 15 July - (BizSpace, Kerikeri)	
Te Tari Whakatau attendees: Keawe Woodmore, Tania Anderson, Adam Levy (Principal Advisor - Policy), Kim Moore	
Time	Agenda
9.00 am – 12.00 pm (includes morning tea)	- Opening remarks and karakia - WPH draft mandate strategy, including Te Tari Whakatau feedback, WPH comments, and addressing outstanding issues - (refer attachment)
Lunch	-
12.30 – 2.15	- WPH draft mandate strategy continued (if necessary) - [REDACTED]
Afternoon Tea	-
2.30 – 4.00	- [REDACTED] - Reflections - Closing remarks and karakia

Out of scope



Kim Moore (he/him)

SENIOR ANALYST

CEL: - DD: +644439049

WEB: <https://whakatau.govt.nz>

**The Office of Treaty Settlements and Takutai Moana:
Te Tari Whakatau**

Level 2, Justice Centre, 19 Aitken Street, SX10111, Wellington 6011

- First part of hui- talked about changing the title of the second document, bring it back to Ngā Takenga Mai.
- Came to the conclusion that it would be best to scrap the second document produced by Kim and revert back to the original Crown template which separates the process and gives the onus back on the Crown
- Tania suggested that a Crown representative be present at all hapū representative hui to ensure they are there to explain the process from a Crown POV
- Second part of the hui: went through the document to ensure that all the areas are adequately covered.
- Happy with points 19, 20, 21, 22.

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

- Difference between tino rangatiratanga and sovereignty
- Settlement resolves certain historical claims, doesn't destroy our right as sovereign. Way we talk about this Crown process is important. Not giving up their sovereignty by participating in this, getting resources to fill their kete for the future. [REDACTED] to talk about this?

9(2)(a)

- Ranginui and Papatuanuku- inclusive, tikanga makes it that way.
- Hapu, marae and wai claimants can choose themselves if they want to be a part of the process

- [REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

- Wording can be adjusted for point 24. Matua Anaru wants to ensure there is good faith and application of tikanga. Adam explained a common situation.
- Agree whakapapa can't change, what is the safety clause WPH can use that helps keep people in the process, not have to walk on opposite sides of Kaeo for the next 20 years
- A statement to be included in the Wai Claims so they don't feel excluded, they have been included because they submitted in the hearing. Remove from numbers list but include the statement below.
- Point. 28 add Ngāti Kahu, Te Whakaaetanga- Ngāti Torohenga ki Matakā. (Nga Puriri Pumau- Te Waimate Taiamai)
- Add the weeks where the hui were hosted in Whangaroa to point 32.
- Point 37: will likely use the word, "Trust"
- Point 33: Taonga encapsulates all of the 14 statements, but taonga is the totality in the Māori world.
- Point 40.B: Change only one hapu representative on Te Wakaminenga, but more than one representative withing their hapū.
- Remove point 40.C altogether
- Point 40.B reworded.
- Point 41.B Include clause around collective approach, not as individual hapū when part of Wakaminenga, for the common good of each other for Whangaroa.
- Point. 42.a: Add Te Taumata Hakahaere is the administrative arm/function of Te Wakaminenga

- Point. 44 a. Regarding the Whangaroa Forum: maybe mostly online, come back regarding Whangaroa Forum.
- Point. 47 bring it straight to the start of the points of what the Whangaroa Forum is. Make it part of point 43.
- Establishing that hapū kaikōrero voting will happen first. After that a transition process from WPH to new body/Te Wakaminenga, process time to be determined (staged process).
- Identifying timing points for how we move forward. Also identify where our whānau are living etc and how we engage with them? Start collecting reports on social media etc about people engaging with our posts.
- Sticking point- how are hapū going to elect their kaikōrero?
- Provide polls and surveys to get an idea of who is engaging with us
- Point 57 to be made into point 53.
- Point 56 to lose all words after Te Wakaminenga
- Point 65 to add consensus, and then go to 75% majority if need be.
- Point 66. Logistics regarding progress reports and how often- at least monthly
- Adding Whangaroa Forum to accountability points
- Point 69. Two months workable timeframe for dispute resolution: Kia Hohou Te Rongo process for dispute resolution. Number of mediators up to Whangaroa to decide
- Point. 70: Ahau is now established. The Crown will want to see this.
- Point 74: National and international advertisement could be on Facebook for example.
- Point 84: Further discussion about Hapū inclusion process to be had amongst WPH
- Mandate process- to be further discussed by TTW and WPH
- Resolution point key for Crown. One clear resolution that everybody uses.
- Point 87 e: need to also record attendees.
- Need to consider different ways of engaging whanau to vote
- Will there be both hapū and individual votes? How to reconcile the results if you keep both processes? Individual voting happens within hapū?
- Next steps: Kim getting rid of the cover page, will transfer all info into the Crown template. Things for his team to discuss further. Need to meet again.
- Meet again August 4?
- WPH to work on Trust Deed draft

From: [Moore, Kim](#)
 To: [REDACTED] finance@whangaroapaparapu.org.nz; [REDACTED] [pauloa](#)
 Cc: [Woodmore, Keawe](#); [Anderson, Tania](#); [Levy, Adam](#)
 Subject: Follow-up from our 15 July hui
 Date: Friday, 25 July 2025 3:36:00 pm
 Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

9(2)(a)

Out of scope

Kia ora koutou

It was lovely to see you all last week and meet some of you for the first time. We thought it was a productive session with rich kōrero, and we thank you for the openness with which you discussed your ideas with us.

[REDACTED]

Out of scope

[REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

Draft Mandate Strategy – a process for information sharing and voting

During the hui we discussed at length what an information sharing and voting process might look like. We heard from you that:

- Holding a number of hui in various locations will not, in and of itself, be effective in engaging the most number of Whangaroa members in an information sharing and voting process
- Online voting is your preferred and primary method for voting on the mandate, noting also that the draft mandate strategy suggests hapū will be empowered to vote in accordance with their tikanga (which may or may not be online voting)

We have a couple of additional questions on this:

- We're keen to hear from you whether you consider a predominantly online approach to information sharing and voting will give everyone in your claimant community the best opportunity to engage?
- What methods (if any) do you propose to supplement that online approach? e.g.
 - in-person hui (in which locations e.g. Kaeo, Auckland, etc)?
 - Mailed out ballots?
- What measure(s) would you use, through the online approach, to be assured all hapū members have had their say?

Draft Mandate Strategy – hapū kaikōrero election

We heard that:

- WPH wish to run a process whereby hapū elect hapū kaikōrero

Te Tari Whakatau has no issue with hapū kaikōrero election as part of the mandate strategy. We're keen to hear more specifically how this fits into your wider approach to voting and how you propose hapū will be supported to elect their kaikōrero. We wish to be clearer about the process, timing and proposed resourcing of these elections. It would assist to see a plan of the whole mandate voting process so everyone is clear what happens, in what order, with what resources may be required.

I'll follow up next week about our next in-person hui.

Ngā mihi nui
Kim



Kim Moore ([he/him](#))

SENIOR ANALYST

CEL: - DD: **+644439049**

WEB: <https://whakatau.govt.nz>

**The Office of Treaty Settlements and Takutai Moana:
Te Tari Whakatau**

Level 2, Justice Centre, 19 Aitken Street, SX10111, Wellington 6011

From: [Moore, Kim](#)
To: [REDACTED] "kaiwhakahaere@whangaroapapahapu.org.nz"; nitance@whangaroapapahapu.org.nz; [REDACTED]; [ptauroa](#); [WPH Claims](#)
Cc: [Mellows, Alexandria](#); [Anderson, Tania](#); [Mullane-Ronaki, Maraea](#); [Wood, Bella](#)
Subject: Draft agenda for Monday 18 August
Date: Wednesday, 13 August 2025 4:18:00 pm
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

9(2)(a)

Kia ora koutou

Really looking forward to seeing you all again four our hui on Monday (18 August) at BizSpace, Kerikeri. Please see below a draft agenda for the day.

Let me know if you've got any comments.

Ngā mihi nui
Kim

Monday 18 August (BizSpace, Kerikeri)	
Te Tari Whakatau attendees: Alex Mellows (Manager), Tania Anderson, Kim Moore, Bella Wood (Analyst)	
Whangaroa Papa Hapū attendees: [For WPH to complete]	
Time	Agenda Item
10.00 – 10.30	- Karakia and whakawhanaungatanga - Opening comments
10.30 - 12.30	Working morning tea
	- Draft mandate strategy ○ Opportunity for WPH to update on progress / changes and speak to any outstanding issues e.g., current thinking on voting process ○ Te Tari Whakatau responses and any additional feedback ○ Round table: how is the mandate strategy sitting from the perspective of all parts of WPH? e.g. ■ Comms team ■ Project Coordinator ■ Finance and Administration support
12.30 – 13.00	Lunch
13.00 – 13.30	[REDACTED]
13.30 – 15.30	- Draft mandate strategy continued (if necessary)
15.30 - 15.45	Working afternoon tea
	[REDACTED]
15.30 - 15.45	- Closing comments and karakia

Out of scope

Out of scope



Kim Moore (he/him)
SENIOR ANALYST | TE TIRA KURAPONAMU (TREATY SETTLEMENTS)
DDI: +644439049
WEB: <https://whakatau.govt.nz>
The Office of Treaty Settlements and Takutai Moana:
Te Tari Whakatau
Level 2, Justice Centre, 19 Aitken Street, SX10111, Wellington 6011

From: [Anaru Kira](#)
To: [Moore, Kim](#)
Cc: [Mellows, Alexandria](#)
Subject: Re: Our conversation just now and next steps
Date: Thursday, 9 October 2025 3:12:36 pm
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

Kia Ora Kim

I will submit these points in our WPH meeting next Monday, except for the draft mandate and the hapu kaikorero attachment, which will be submitted before Monday.

Anaru

On Thu, 9 Oct 2025 at 2:14 PM, Moore, Kim <Kim.Moore@whakatau.govt.nz> wrote:

Kia ora e te rangatira

Here's a summary of our conversation just now and next steps in sequence:

- WPH to send through updated draft mandate strategy (including an attachment about hapū kaikōrero for Te Tari Whakatau to consider)
- Te Tari Whakatau to consider changes (and hapū kaikōrero attachment from WPH) and return draft mandate strategy to WPH, appending correspondence as requested
- WPH to advise they agree the draft mandate strategy
- Te Tari Whakatau to write to WPH agreeing the draft mandate strategy
- WPH and Te Tari Whakatau to determine length of time the Crown will seek submissions for (we discussed the standard is 21 days; you advised WPH may prefer a longer period. It's worth us considering the timing of this in relation to Christmas break and Te Tari Whakatau shut-down period)
- WPH to promote and upload the draft mandate strategy
- Te Tari Whakatau to seek submissions (approximately ~2 weeks~ after WPH upload, for a period of time TBD)

Let me know if this is accurate to our conversation.

Ngā mihi

Kim

Kim Moore (he/him)

SENIOR ANALYST | TE TIRA KURAPONAMU (TREATY SETTLEMENTS)

DDI: +644439049

WEB: <https://whakatau.govt.nz>

**The Office of Treaty Settlements and Takutai Moana:
Te Tari Whakatau**

Level 2, Justice Centre, [19 Aitken Street](#), SX10111, Wellington 6011

From: [Moore, Kim](#)
To: [REDACTED] ["Bill Hori"; "Finance"](#)
Cc: [Anderson, Tania](#); [Wood, Bella](#); [REDACTED] **9(2)(a)**
Subject: Hui tomorrow @ BizSpace, Kerikeri
Date: Wednesday, 5 November 2025 3:46:00 pm
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

Kia ora koutou

Just emailing to confirm our hui for tomorrow is at BizSpace, Kerikeri, from 10.00 am – 2.00 pm.

See below a draft agenda:

Thursday 6 November (BizSpace, Kerikeri)	
Te Tari Whakatau attendees: Tania Anderson, Kim Moore	
Whangaroa Papa Hapū (WPH) attendees: Anaru Kira, Bill Hori, Olive Guy	
[REDACTED] 9(2)(a)	
Time	Agenda Item
10.0 – 10.15	- Karakia and opening comments
	Working morning tea
10.15 – 12.00	- Draft mandate strategy - o Work through latest version of draft and seek to resolve outstanding issues - o Confirm documents to be attached/appended - Next steps for mandate process - o Discuss and confirm arrangements for Te Tari Whakatau seeking submissions - o WPH engagements
12.00 – 12.30	Lunch
12.30 – 14.00	- [REDACTED] Out of scope - o [REDACTED] - o [REDACTED] - Closing comments and karakia

Ngā mihi
Kim



Kim Moore (he/him)

SENIOR ANALYST | TE TIRA KURAPOUNAMU (TREATY SETTLEMENTS)

DDI: +644439049

WEB: <https://whakatau.govt.nz>

**The Office of Treaty Settlements and Takutai Moana:
Te Tari Whakatau**

Level 2, Justice Centre, 19 Aitken Street, SX10111, Wellington 6011

Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Weekly Status Report

Date: 4 July 2024 **Priority:** Low
Report No. 2024/2025 – 10

Action sought

Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations **Note the Weekly Status Report** **By: 11 July 2024**
 (Hon Paul Goldsmith)

Contact for phone discussion (if required)

Name	Position	Mobile	1 st Contact
Lil Anderson	Tumu Whakarae	021 387 047	
Tui Marsh	Deputy Chief Executive, Treaty Reconciliation and Takutai Moana	021 495 0296	✓

Ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi – mandate development and meetings in Northland

Out of scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Hui with Whangaroa Papa Hapū

5. We are meeting with Whangaroa Papa Hapū on 11-12 July. The hui will focus on [REDACTED] and the development of a consultation document for engaging with hapū on what a possible mandate structure and process might look like. [REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

Out of scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Rest of document out of scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Weekly Status Report

Date: 3 October 2024

Priority: Low

Report No. 2024/2025 – MFT122

Action sought

**Minister for Treaty of Waitangi
Negotiations**
(Hon Paul Goldsmith)

Note the Weekly Status Report

By: 10 October 2024

Contact for phone discussion (if required)

Name	Position	Mobile	1 st Contact
Lil Anderson	Tumu Whakarae	021 387 047	
Tui Marsh	Deputy Chief Executive, Treaty Reconciliation and Takutai Moana	021 495 0296	✓

Updates

Treaty Settlements

[REDACTED]

Out of scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi – mandate development

Whangaroa Papa Hapū (Whangaroa)

2. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. WPH [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] are engaging with officials on the development of their draft mandate strategy.

Out of scope

[REDACTED]

Rest of document out of scope

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Weekly Status Report

Date: 7 November 2024
Report No. 2024/2025 – 170

Priority: Low

Action sought

**Minister for Treaty of Waitangi
Negotiations**
(Hon Paul Goldsmith)

Note the Weekly Status Report

By: 14 November

Contact for phone discussion (if required)

Name	Position	Mobile	1 st Contact
Lil Anderson	Tumu Whakarae	021 387 047	
Tui Marsh	Deputy Chief Executive, Treaty Reconciliation and Takutai Moana	027 495 0296	✓

Ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi – mandate development

Out of scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Whangaroa Papa Hapū

5. On 31 October and 1 November we met with Whangaroa Papa Hapū (WPH) to discuss the development of their draft mandate strategy. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
[REDACTED] WPH are also planning a regional hui for 30 November to share information with, and seek the views of, hapū members on the mandate and negotiations processes.

[REDACTED]

Rest of document out of scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Weekly Status Report

Date: 3 July 2025
Report No. 2025/2026 – 12

Priority: Low

Action sought

**Minister for Treaty of Waitangi
Negotiations**
 (Hon Paul Goldsmith)

Note the Weekly Status Report

By: 10 July 2025

Contact for phone discussion (if required)

Name	Position	Mobile	1 st Contact
Justine Smith	Tumu Whakarae	027 320 7907	
Tui Marsh	Deputy Chief Executive, Treaty Reconciliation and Takutai Moana	027 495 0296	✓

Updates

Treaty Settlements

Ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi – mandate development

Out of scope

[illegible]

Whangaroa Papa Hapū (Whangaroa)

- 9(2)(j)

Out of scope

Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Weekly Status Report

Date: 10 July 2025
Report No. 2024/2025 – 20

Priority: Low

Action sought

**Minister for Treaty of Waitangi
Negotiations**
 (Hon Paul Goldsmith)

Note the Weekly Status Report

By: 17 July 2025

Contact for phone discussion (if required)

Name	Position	Mobile	1 st Contact
Justine Smith	Tumu Whakarae	027 320 7907	
Tui Marsh	Deputy Chief Executive, Treaty Reconciliation and Takutai Moana	027 495 0296	✓

Updates

Treaty Settlements

Ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi – mandate development

Out of scope

[illegible]

Whangaroa Papa Hapū (Whangaroa)

11. On 27 June Whangaroa Papa Hapū (WPH) provided some additional comments on our feedback on their draft mandate strategy. We are meeting with them on 14-15 July to address outstanding issues on their draft mandate strategy and discuss a forward workplan.

12. Next steps: 14-15 July meeting in Northland

Out of scope

Rest of document out of scope

Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Weekly Status Report

Date: 21 August 2025

Priority: Low

Report No. 2025/2026– 79

Action sought

**Minister for Treaty of Waitangi
Negotiations**
(Hon Paul Goldsmith)

Note the Weekly Status Report

By: 28 August 2025

Contact for phone discussion (if required)

Name	Position	Mobile	1 st Contact
Justine Smith	Tumu Whakarae	027 320 7907	
Tui Marsh	Deputy Chief Executive, Treaty Reconciliation and Takutai Moana	027 495 0296	✓

Updates

Treaty Settlements

Ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi – mandate development

- [REDACTED] Out of scope
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
 - [REDACTED]

Whangaroa Papa Hapū (Whangaroa)

9. On 18 August we met with Whangaroa Papa Hapū (WPH) to discuss outstanding issues with their draft mandate strategy. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
10. Next steps: We will continue to work with WPH on their draft mandate strategy and update you on progress.

Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Weekly Status Report

Date: 30 October 2025

Priority: Low

Report No. 2025/2026– 143

Action sought

**Minister for Treaty of Waitangi
Negotiations**
(Hon Paul Goldsmith)

Note the Weekly Status Report

By: 6 November 2025

Contact for phone discussion (if required)

Name	Position	Mobile	1 st Contact
Justine Smith	Tumu Whakarae	027 320 7907	
Tui Marsh	Deputy Chief Executive, Treaty Reconciliation and Takutai Moana	027 495 0296	✓

Updates

Treaty Settlements

Ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi – mandate development

[REDACTED]

Out of scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Whangaroa Papa Hapū (Whangaroa)

3. On 20 October we received the latest version of the Whangaroa Papa Hapū (WPH) draft mandate strategy. We are working through a small number of outstanding issues with WPH before we agree the draft and seek submissions.

[REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

[REDACTED]

Out of scope

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Weekly Status Report

Date: 20 November 2025

Priority: Low

Report No. 2025/2026– 166

Action sought

**Minister for Treaty of Waitangi
Negotiations**
(Hon Paul Goldsmith)

Note the Weekly Status Report

By: 27 November 2025

Contact for phone discussion (if required)

Name	Position	Mobile	1 st Contact
Justine Smith	Tumu Whakarae	027 320 7907	
Tui Marsh	Deputy Chief Executive, Treaty Reconciliation and Takutai Moana	027 495 0296	✓

Updates

Treaty Settlements

Ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi – mandate development

Out of scope

- [illegible]

Whangaroa Papa Hapū (Whangaroa)

7. On 19 November we agreed the Whangaroa Papa Hapū draft mandate strategy. From 1 December Whangaroa Papa Hapū will actively engage with their community to discuss the draft. Te Tari Whakatau will invite public submissions from 8 December through to 19 January 2026. Once submissions have been reviewed and considered, we will work alongside Te Puni Kōkiri to determine whether to endorse the strategy.

9(2)(j)

Out of scope

- [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations

Weekly Status Report

Date: 4 December 2025

Priority: Low

Report No. 2025/2026 – 178

Action sought

**Minister for Treaty of Waitangi
Negotiations**
(Hon Paul Goldsmith)

Note the Weekly Status Report

By: 11 December 2025

Contact for phone discussion (if required)

Name	Position	Mobile	1 st Contact
Justine Smith	Tumu Whakarae	027 320 7907	
Tui Marsh	Deputy Chief Executive, Treaty Reconciliation and Takutai Moana	027 495 0296	✓

Updates

Treaty Settlements

Ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi – mandate development

Whangaroa Papa Hapū (Whangaroa)

1. On 19 November we agreed Whangaroa Papa Hapū's draft mandate strategy. Starting on 8 December, Te Tari Whakatau will seek public submissions on the draft strategy until 2 February 2026 – an eight-week window. This extended submissions period goes beyond the standard timeframe, at Whangaroa Papa Hapū's request, to maximise summer engagement.
2. *Next steps: Once the mandate strategy is endorsed, Whangaroa Papa Hapū will undertake voting on the mandate.*

Rest of document out of scope

■ [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

■ [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

■ [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

■ [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]

(b) (7)(C), (b) (7)(D)

■ [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Internal Memorandum

To	Claimant Definition Oversight Group	File reference
		DV052-15-10-4-4
From	Peter Lecky (Analyst)	
CC	Keawe Woodmore (Negotiations and Settlement Manager)	
Date	22 July 2024	
Subject	Whangaroa Papa Hapū: Hapū Grouping Proposal Assessment	
For	Discussion	

Purpose

1. This memorandum seeks feedback and advice from the Claimant Definition Oversight Group (CDOG) on our assessment of hapū and Wai claims included in the hapū grouping proposal of Whangaroa Papa Hapū (WPH). Our assessment will be used to form an initial Crown position on:
 - a. whether any of the hapū included in the WPH hapū grouping proposal are already fully included within the mandates of other, non-WPH groups (such as Ngāti Kahu, Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa, and/or Te Whakaaetanga); and
 - b. whether any claims listed in the WPH proposal which are included in the claimant definitions of other, non-WPH groups (such as Ngāti Kahu or Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa), are not already fully settled, or proposed to be fully settled, by other settlements.
2. Our position will determine the nature of discussions we need to have with WPH about the content of their proposal.

Executive Summary

Whangaroa Papa Hapū (WPH) submitted a hapū grouping proposal – a step unique to Ngāpuhi – on 26 May

3. In December 2019, following the Crown’s discontinued recognition of the Tūhoronuku Independent Mandated Authority (TIMA) mandate, the Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations (MfTOWN) and the Minister for Māori Development (MfMD) invited Ngāpuhi hapū groupings to submit mandate proposals to negotiate the settlement of their historical Treaty of Waitangi claims.
4. The mandate proposal (or hapū grouping proposal) milestone is unique to Ngāpuhi, and functions as the equivalent step to Large Natural Group (LNG) recognition.

5. Officials assess hapū grouping proposals against MfTOWN-approved criteria before seeking a decision from MfTOWN on whether to confirm, or decline to confirm, a hapū grouping and affirm the Crown's desire to work with the grouping to develop a mandate strategy.
6. WPH are a committee of representatives who were elected to provide leadership and coordinate the participation of Whangaroa claimants in the Waitangi Tribunal's Te Paparahi o Te Raki inquiry. Following the Tribunal's inquiry, WPH's leadership in Whangaroa continued during Ngāpuhi mandate processes.
7. WPH submitted their hapū grouping proposal to officials on 26 May. WPH's proposal lists [REDACTED] hapū, 19 marae, and [REDACTED] Wai claims. Many of the hapū and Wai claims are also listed in the claimant definitions of other iwi and hapū groupings, notably Ngāti Kahu, Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa and Te Whakaaetanga. 9(2)(j)

WPH's proposal includes hapū that are also in the claimant definitions of Ngāti Kahu, Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa and Te Whakaaetanga

8. Of the [REDACTED] hapū listed in the WPH proposal, [REDACTED] appear to have been included in the September 2008 Agreement in Principle (AiP) claimant definition of Ngāti Kahu or may be Ngāti Kahu hapū: 9(2)(j)
 - a. [REDACTED] Te Tahawai; [REDACTED] Whanau Pani (WPH list a hapū named Whanau Pani and a hapū named Te Whanau Pani); [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
9. [REDACTED] hapū in the WPH proposal also appear to be included in the December 2015 Deed of Settlement (DoS) claimant definition of Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa: 9(2)(j)
 - a. [REDACTED] Ngāti Kaitangata; [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
10. WPH's proposal lists Ngāti Torehina, which is also listed in the claimant definition for Te Whakaaetanga, a confirmed Ngāpuhi regional hapū grouping that is seeking a mandate to represent a group of Ngāpuhi hapū in Te Pēwhairangi (the Bay of Islands).

The claimant definitions for these groups may not allow for listed hapū to be shared with WPH's proposed grouping

11. The wording in the Ngāti Kahu AiP and Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa DoS claimant definitions both indicate WPH could only include the names of hapū listed in those claimant definitions if their understanding is that those hapū are shared, or those hapū are different hapū with the same name.
12. Te Whakaaetanga is still seeking a mandate from its claimant community and its claimant definition is still under development, and it is currently unclear whether its eventual claimant definition would allow for any of its hapū to be shared with WPH.

We have assessed evidence of hapū affiliations for those included in WPH's proposal, and suggest the list may need to be refined by WPH before we can comprehensively advise MfTOWN on WPH's proposal

13. Through our assessment we have determined that there is no apparent basis for the inclusion of [REDACTED] of the hapū listed in WPH's proposal. These hapū do not appear to have claims or aspects of claims to be settled through a Ngāpuhi settlement: 9(2)(j)
 - a. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
14. We require additional information from WPH about [REDACTED] further hapū to complete our assessment, and suggest clarifying the basis for the inclusion of these directly with WPH: 9(2)(j)
 - a. Ngāti Torehina, [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

Sources

15. We have made an initial assessment of hapū and Wai claims on the basis of available evidence, including:
 - a. material on contemporary affiliations of hapū;
 - b. what the Crown has understood about relevant hapū and Wai claims during previous settlement negotiations;
 - c. the claimant definitions in relevant settlement documents; and
 - d. historical evidence of hapū associations.

Background

In 2019 Ministers invited Ngāpuhi hapū groupings to submit mandate proposals - a step unique to Ngāpuhi

16. In December 2019, following the Crown's discontinued recognition of the Tūhoronuku Independent Mandated Authority (TIMA) mandate, the Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations (MfTOWN) and the Minister for Māori Development (MfMD) invited Ngāpuhi hapū groupings to submit mandate proposals to negotiate the settlement of their historical Treaty of Waitangi claims.
17. The mandate proposal (or hapū grouping proposal) milestone is unique to Ngāpuhi, and functions as the equivalent step to Large Natural Group (LNG) recognition. It was tailored for the particular circumstances of the Crown's engagement with Ngāpuhi at the time, including:
 - a. the Crown's discontinued recognition of the TIMA mandate after attempts by parties to evolve the mandate were ultimately unsuccessful; and
 - b. the Waitangi Tribunal's (the Tribunal) recommendations in its 2015 *Ngāpuhi Mandate Inquiry Report* for the Crown to meet certain minimum standards which the Tribunal "considers the

Treaty principle of active protection requires the Crown to adhere to when making the decision to recognise a mandate to negotiate historical Treaty claims.”¹

18. Officials assess hapū grouping proposals against MfTOWN-approved criteria before seeking a decision from MfTOWN on whether to confirm, or decline to confirm, a hapū grouping and affirm the Crown’s desire to work with the grouping to develop a mandate strategy. The criteria (see **Appendix One**) are size, coherence, what remains, grouping capability and levels of hapū support [TA 2020/2021 – 159 refers].
19. The ‘coherence’ and ‘what remains’ criteria are most relevant for this discussion. They ask:
 - a. ‘Is the hapū grouping joined together by whakapapa, rohe, historic alliances and contemporary political coherence to differentiate it sufficiently from other hapū and *allow the possibility of settlement?*’ (emphasis added)
 - b. ‘What will be left over in the region if a mandate proposal is confirmed, both in terms of hapū and marae interests as well as the area of interests concerned?’

WPH have provided leadership in Whangaroa for many years

20. WPH are a committee of representatives who were elected to provide leadership and coordinate the participation of Whangaroa claimants in the Waitangi Tribunal’s Te Paparahi o Te Raki inquiry. Following the Tribunal’s inquiry, WPH’s leadership in Whangaroa continued during Ngāpuhi mandate processes. WPH demonstrated consistent and strong opposition to a single Ngāpuhi settlement during the initial TIMA mandate phase, and from 2016-2019, members of WPH were involved in discussions around evolving the TIMA mandate.
21. Since 2020, WPH have engaged actively with the Crown to work through key issues and discuss their development of a hapū grouping proposal. They are the only group in Whangaroa who have completed substantive mandate work in that time period and have consistently advised they wish to bring all Whangaroa hapū along with them in the process.

22. [REDACTED]

Out of scope

WPH submitted a hapū grouping proposal on 26 May

23. In May 2024, officials met with WPH to discuss a draft version of their mandate proposal. WPH advised they wished to take an inclusive approach to listing hapū and marae to acknowledge the deep connections they have with hapū in Whangaroa, as well as other hapū and iwi across Northland. WPH also noted the Crown does not require evidence of unanimous support from all hapū in the proposed grouping.
24. In the May meeting, we suggested WPH include a statement in the proposal saying they “have taken an inclusive approach in listing marae and hapū”, and advised they could refine their claimant definition during the mandate strategy phase and beyond.

¹ Waitangi Tribunal, *The Ngāpuhi Mandate Inquiry Report*, 2015, p.31

25. WPH submitted their hapū grouping proposal to officials on 26 May (see **Appendix Three**). It includes a statement on their connections, noting Whangaroa are “interconnected ... and simultaneously distinct”.² They further noted:

- a. “Whangaroa hapū have many recognised ancestors, some of whom are common to more than one of the hapū”; and
- b. “Whangaroa also hold connections to other hapū and iwi in Te Tai Tokerau, such as Ngāpuhi, Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa and Ngāti Kahu.”

26. WPH’s proposal lists [REDACTED] hapū, 19 marae, and [REDACTED] Wai claims. Many of the hapū and Wai claims are also listed in the claimant definitions of other iwi, notably Ngāti Kahu and Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa. [REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

27. During previous mandate processes there were [REDACTED] hapū listed as Whangaroa hapū. Since 2020, WPH have consistently advised officials there are [REDACTED] active hapū in the region (though they have not named them specifically), of which they have indicated [REDACTED] are supportive of WPH continuing formal mandate work.

9(2)(j)

We met with WPH on 11-12 July to discuss their proposal, and will next meet with them on 24-25 July

28. We met with WPH on 11-12 July and asked WPH to describe the basis on which hapū that had previously included in other mandates were included. WPH decided to remove [REDACTED] of the hapū from their proposal. On 17 July, WPH asked to amend their proposal again to include [REDACTED] of the hapū that they removed.

9(2)(j)

29. We will meet with WPH on 24-25 July to, among other things, discuss their proposal. We are seeking to form an initial position on the possibility of WPH including hapū in their proposal that are already included in claimant definitions (either partially- or fully-developed) for existing mandates prior to our meeting, so that we may support them to further refine their proposal if needed.

Discussion

WPH’s proposal includes hapū and Wai claims that are also in the claimant definitions of Ngāti Kahu, Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa and Te Whakaaetanga

30. Of the [REDACTED] hapū listed in the WPH proposal, [REDACTED] appear to have been included in the September 2008 AiP claimant definition of Ngāti Kahu (attached with this memorandum) or may be Ngāti Kahu hapū:

9(2)(j)

[REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

² Aroha Harris, Brief of Evidence, Wai 1040 E32(a), p.6

[REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

d. Te Tahawai;

[REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

f. Whanau Pani (WPH list a hapū named Whanau Pani *and* a hapū named Te Whanau Pani); and

[REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

31. [REDACTED] hapū in the WPH proposal also appear to be included in the December 2015 DoS claimant definition of Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa (**attached** with this memorandum):

[REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

b. Ngāti Kaitangata;

[REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

32. WPH's proposal lists Ngāti Torehina. Ngāti Torehina is also listed in the claimant definition for Te Whakaaetanga, a confirmed Ngāpuhi regional hapū grouping that is seeking a mandate to represent a group of Ngāpuhi hapū in Te Pēwhairangi (the Bay of Islands) and includes Ngāti Torehina. An assessment of WPH's inclusion of Ngāti Torehina, and the other [REDACTED] hapū listed in WPH's proposal that aren't also listed in the claimant definitions for non-Ngāpuhi iwi, is attached at **Appendix Four**. 9(2)(j)

Ngāti Kahu – Treaty settlement status, claimant definition, hapū, and Wai claims

Ngāti Kahu Treaty settlement status

33. In May 2002, the Crown conditionally recognised the mandate of Te Rūnanga-ā-Iwi o Ngāti Kahu (TRINK) to negotiate the settlement of all historical claims of Ngāti Kahu, and unconditionally recognised the mandate in February 2003. In September 2008, Ngāti Kahu reached an Agreement in Principle (AiP) with the Crown. In 2017, the Crown suspended its recognition of the TRINK mandate, due to concerns raised by some Ngāti Kahu marae and members, which the Crown considered were unlikely to be resolved before the Tribunal reconvened its remedies inquiry in the Muriwhenua inquiry district.

34. [REDACTED] Out of scope
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Ngāti Kahu claimant definitions

Deed of Mandate claimant definition

35. The TRINK Deed of Mandate (DoM) (**attached**), notes TRINK is mandated to 'seek a comprehensive settlement of all Ngati Kahu claims which the Crown is able to settle at this time' and lists hapū and marae covered by the mandate. The listed hapū are:
 - a. Te Whanau Moana; Te Rorohuri; Ngāti Tara; Pikaahu; Paatu/Te Paatu; Ngāti Ruaiti; Matarahurahu; Te Whanau Pani; Ngai Tauurutakaware; Ngati Taranga; Ngati Tohianga; Patukoraha; and Tahāwai.
36. The DoM notes TRINK will develop a claimant definition that may include a statement such as:
 - a. "Ngati Kahu, that is, the descendants of Kahutianui and Te Parata".

Agreement in Principle claimant definition

37. The Ngāti Kahu AiP claimant definition reads:

58. The definition of Ngāti Kahu will be, or be similar to, the following:

- a **Ngāti Kahu** are those who exercise mana, tino rangatiratanga and customary rights as Ngāti Kahu and:
 - i means the collective group, composed of individuals and groups referred to in **clause 58(a)(ii)** below;
 - ii means:
 - A every individual who is descended from a Ngāti Kahu Ancestor; and
 - B every individual who is a member of an iwi, hapū, group, family or whānau referred to in **clause 58(a)(iii)**; and
 - iii includes:
 - A the following hapū: Matarahurahu, Ngāi Tohianga, Ngāti Ruaiti, Ngāti Tara, Ngāti Taranga, Patu Kōraha, Pikaahu, Matakairiri, Te Paatu, Te Tahawai, Te Whanau Moana, Te Rorohuri, Ngāti Whata and Whanau Pani / Ngāi Tauurutakaware; and
 - B any iwi, hapū, group, family, or whānau to the extent that the iwi, hapū, group, family, or whānau is composed of individuals referred to in **clause 58(a)(ii)**.
- b **Ngāti Kahu Ancestor** means an individual who exercised mana, tino rangatiratanga and customary rights predominantly in relation to the Area of Interest at any time after 6 February 1840 by virtue of their being descended from Kahutianui and Te Parata.

59. The format for the definition of Ngāti Kahu and the definition itself will be discussed in the process of finalising a Deed of Settlement and will use a format similar to that used for previous Treaty settlements.

Assessment of the Ngāti Kahu claimant definition

38. The Ngāti Kahu claimant definition is not fully developed. It stipulates it “will be, or be similar to” the drafted definition, notes that the definition will be discussed further prior to Deed of Settlement, and that it will use a format similar to that used for previous settlements.
39. The Ngāti Kahu claimant definition at clause 58(b) limits the definition of ‘Ngāti Kahu Ancestor’ to an individual who exercised customary rights predominantly in relation to the Area of Interest after 6 February 1840 by virtue of descent from Kahutianui and Parata. 58(ii)(a) then notes that Ngāti Kahu means any individual who can trace decent from a Ngāti Kahu Ancestor.

40. This drafting suggests an eventual Ngāti Kahu settlement would comprehensively settle all the claims of individuals who are members of the hapū listed at 58(iii)(A), to the extent they relate to those hapū, whether or not members of those hapū can trace descent from Kahutianui and Parata.

41. [REDACTED] 9(2)(h)

42. If the reading above is correct, it would mean WPH could only include the names of hapū also in the Ngāti Kahu AiP claimant definition if:

a. their understanding is that those hapū are shared – in which case the Crown will need to determine how to proceed, given the Crown and Ngāti Kahu have signalled their intent to settle the claims of those hapū through an eventual Ngāti Kahu settlement; or

b. those hapū are different hapū with the same name.

43. An assessment of those hapū is presented in the following sections.

Wai claims in both the Ngāti Kahu AiP and WPH proposal

44. Of the [REDACTED] Wai claims in the WPH proposal, [REDACTED] Wai claims are also included in the AiP claimant definition of historical claims of Ngāti Kahu: 9(2)(j)

a. Wai 295 – Kohumaru Station Claim;

[REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

[REDACTED]

45. All [REDACTED] claims are included in the Ngāti Kahu AiP as “relat[ing] specifically to Ngāti Kahu”. Despite this wording in the claims definition, there appears to be aspects of Wai 295 that are made on the basis of Ngāpuhi descent through the hapū Te Uri o Te Aho, and it may be appropriate for WPH to include this claim in their proposal.⁴ [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

Assessment of hapū in both the Ngāti Kahu AiP and WPH proposal

46. An assessment of the hapū listed in WPH’s proposal that are also listed in the Ngāti Kahu AiP claimant definition is presented in the following table.

⁴ Wai 295, 1.1, p. 2; 1.1(b), p. 2

Hapū included in WPH's proposal that are also listed in the Ngāti Kahu AiP claimant definition or are otherwise Ngāti Kahu hapū:

Hapū	Associated NK marae	Associated NK claims	Associated Ngāpuhi marae	Associated Ngāpuhi claims	Named in Tūhoronuku ToN?	Assessment
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Tahāwai	Takahue	295	Tahaawai; Te Huia; Te Pātūnga	1968, 2254, 2325, 2371, 2382, 2254	Yes	Different hapū (one belonging to Ngāti Kahu/Te Rarawa, one belonging to Ngāpuhi). The Ngāpuhi hapū is closely related other Ngāpuhi hapū Ngāti Uru and Ngāti Pākahi and traces descent from (amongst other ancestors) Ngāpuhi rangatira Hongi Hika, and historically exercised customary rights across the WPH Aol, particularly between Kaikinikini and the Kaeo river (and is today based near Pupuke in the centre of the Whangaroa rohe). ¹⁰ The Aol of the Ngāti Kahu/Te Rarawa hapū is outside the WPH area, around Takahue. ¹¹
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

9(2)(j)

9(2)(j)

¹⁰ Wai 1040, 1.1.397(b), pp. 3-4

¹¹ Wai 45, R2, p. 12

Te Whānau Pani/Whānau Pani	Aputerewa		Karangahape (Te Whānau Pani) <u>and</u> Te Huia, Te Pātunga, Waihapa (Whānau Pani)	123, 1333 (Te Whānau Pani) 1968 (Whānau Pani)	No	Different hapū. There are separate Ngāpuhi hapū called Te Whānau Pani (based at Matangirau on the southern side of the Whangaroa harbour) and Whānau Pani (based at Pupuke in the centre of the Whangaroa rohe). ¹⁴ There is also shared Ngāti Kahu/Te Rarawa hapū called either Te Whānau Pani or Whānau Pani (the name is not consistent between the Ngāti Kahu DoM and AiP). Aputerewa marae is not associated with Ngāpuhi and this area is outside the WPH Aol.

¹⁴ Wai 1040, E32, p. 33

Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa – Treaty settlement status, claimant definition, hapū, and Wai claims

Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa Treaty settlement status

47. In September 2001, the Crown recognised the mandate of the Kahukuraariki Trust Board to negotiate the settlement of the historical claims of Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa. AiP was initially reached in December 2007, before a refined AiP was reached in July 2014. In December 2015, Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa signed a Deed of Settlement.
48. A key issue during negotiations was the occupation by a whānau of Ngāti Aukiwa (a hapū in the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa claimant definition and also listed in the WPH proposal) of Stony Creek Station. Stony Creek was included in the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa settlement as cultural redress, vested in fee simple. This remains a live issue and the site continues to be occupied by the whānau.

49. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
[REDACTED]

Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa claimant definition

50. The Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa claimant definition in the DoS reads:

NGATIKAHU KI WHANGAROA

8.6 In this deed, **Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa** means –

- 8.6.1 the collective group composed of individuals who descend from one or more Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa tupuna; and
- 8.6.2 every whānau, hapū, or group to the extent that it is composed of individuals referred to in clause 8.6.1, including the following groups:
 - (a) Ngāti Aukiwa;
 - (b) Te Hoia;
 - (c) Ngāti Kaitangata;
 - (d) Te Pohotiare;
 - (e) Ngāti Rangimatamomoe;
 - (f) Ngāti Roha;
 - (g) Ngāti Rua; and
- 8.6.3 every individual referred to in clause 8.6.1.

8.7 For the purposes of clause 8.6.1 –

- 8.7.1 **descended** means that a person is descended from another person by –
 - (a) birth; or
 - (b) legal adoption; or
 - (c) whāngai (Māori customary adoption) in accordance with Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa tikanga; and
- 8.7.2 **Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa tupuna** means an individual who –
 - (a) exercised customary rights by virtue of being descended from –
 - (i) Kahukuraariki; or
 - (ii) a recognised tupuna of any of the groups referred to in clause 8.6.2; and
 - (b) exercised customary rights predominantly in relation to Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest after 6 February 1840; and
- 8.7.3 **customary rights** means rights according to tikanga Māori (Māori customary values and practices), including –
 - (a) rights to occupy land; and
 - (b) rights in relation to the use of land or other natural or physical resources.

Assessment of the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa claimant definition

52. The Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa claimant definition includes an 'or' clause at 8.7.2(a)(i). This means that any tupuna who exercised customary rights predominantly in relation to the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest after 6 February 1840 by virtue of descent from a recognised tupuna of any of the hapū included in the definition is a Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa tupuna for the purposes of the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa settlement. There is no exclusion clause to indicate any of the hapū listed were considered to be shared with other groups.
53. Claims of individuals who descend from Kahukuraariki or from a recognised ancestor of one of those hapū are therefore settled by the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa settlement, to the extent that those claims are brought on the basis of descent from one or more Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa tūpuna. In order for any claims of individuals belonging to the listed hapū that were brought on the basis of descent from an ancestor of one or more of the listed hapū to remain potentially unsettled by the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa settlement, there would need to be historical evidence which indicated that tūpuna of those hapū exercised customary rights *predominantly outside* the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa Aol.
54. The reading above, if correct, would mean WPH could only include the names of hapū also in the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa claimant definition if:
- a. their understanding is that those hapū are shared, and historical research indicated that one or more tūpuna of the hapū exercised customary rights after 1840 *predominantly outside* the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa Aol (note we have not yet done the historical research necessary to form a position on whether this may be the case; or
 - b. those hapū were different hapū with the same name.
55. An assessment of those hapū is presented in the following sections.

Wai claims in both the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa DoS and WPH proposal

9(2)(j)

56. Of the [REDACTED] Wai claims in the WPH proposal, 22 are also included in the DoS claimant definition of Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa, as listed in the table below:

Wai 58	Wai 1666	Wai 1848	Wai 1853	Wai 2149
Wai 230	Wai 1684	Wai 1849	Wai 1854	Wai 2151
Wai 258	Wai 1832	Wai 1850	Wai 1855	
Wai 1487	Wai 1845	Wai 1851	Wai 2004	
Wai 1661	Wai 1846	Wai 1852	Wai 2115	

57. All 22 claims above are included in the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa DoS "so far as" they relate to Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa.

58. If our assessment of the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa claimant definition is correct, then we consider that only the shared claims referring to whakapapa to a hapū *not* listed in the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa claimant definition, excluding Kaitangata (i.e., excluding Ngāti Aukiwa; Te Hoia; Te Pohitiare; Ngāti Rangimatamomoe; Ngāti Roha; and Ngāti Rua) would have aspects that could be settled through a Ngāpuhi settlement.
59. We consider Kaitangata to be an exception to the above, for two reasons:
- a. Since 1840, most (if not all) Kaitangata ancestors have predominantly exercised customary rights on the southern side of the Whangaroa harbour, *outside* the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa rohe. This is due to Kaitangata being largely driven out of the northern side of the harbour in 1826 following a significant loss in battle to Ngāpuhi rangatira Ururoa.¹⁷
 - b. The Crown agreed during NKkW negotiations Kaitangata is a shared hapū with claims to the eastern side of the Whangaroa harbour still to be settled.
60. 19 of the 22 claims fit the description described above. An index of these claims, including the hapū, iwi or claimant group listed in each, is attached at **Appendix 5**.
61. The claims for which this does not appear to be the case, or it is unclear, are:
- a. Wai 1661 – Ngāti Rua (Wood, Smith and Wood) Claim;
 - b. Wai 2115 – Ngāti Kahu o Whangaroa Natural Resources (Paki) Claim; and
 - c. Wai 2151 – Ngāti Kahu Lands and Other Issues (Stewart) Claim.
62. [REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

Assessment of hapū in both the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa DoS and WPH proposal

63. An assessment of WPH's inclusion of hapū listed in the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa DoS claimant definition is presented in the following table.

¹⁷ OTS, [Debrief to TPK and CLO re intensive workshop discussions](#)

Assessment of hapū included in WPH's proposal that are also listed in the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa Deed of Settlement claimant definition:

Hapū	Associated NKkW marae	Associated NKkW claims	Associated Ngāpuhi marae	Associated Ngāpuhi claims	Named in Tūhonoronuku ToN?	Assessment
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Ngāti Kaitangata	Taupō	258, 2023	Karanga-hape	230	Yes	Shared hapū with Ngāpuhi claims to be settled. The Crown agreed during NKkW negotiations Kaitangata is a shared hapū with claims to the eastern side of the Whangaroa harbour still to be settled. ²¹
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

9(2)(j)

[REDACTED]

9(2)(j)

²¹ OTS, [RON and Action Points for 2 December 2005 meeting.doc \(sharepoint.com\)](#), p. 2

[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

4

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Summary of assessment

64. In light of our interpretation of the claimant definition for the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa DoS, we consider the following in regards to hapū listed in both WPH's proposal and the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa DoS:

a. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

b. Kai Tangata/Ngāti Kaitangata is a shared Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa and Ngāpuhi hapū that has outstanding claims to settle based on descent from Ngāpuhi.

65. In light of our interpretation of the claimant definition for the Ngāti Kahu AiP, we consider the following in regards to hapū listed in both WPH's proposal and the Ngāti Kahu AiP (or may otherwise be Ngāti Kahu hapū):

a. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

b. [REDACTED]

c. The 'Te Tahawai' included in WPH's proposal is a different hapū to the one listed in the Ngāti Kahu AiP. We understand the Te Tahawai included in WPH's proposal affiliates to Tahaawai, Te Huia, and Te Pātunga marae, whereas the Te Tahawai listed in the Ngāti Kahu AiP affiliates to Takahue marae. We consider the Te Tahawai included in WPH's proposal has outstanding claims to settle based on descent from Ngāpuhi;

d. The 'Te Whanau Pani' included in WPH's proposal is a different hapū to the one listed in the Ngāti Kahu AiP. We understand the Te Whanau Pani included in WPH's proposal to affiliates to Karangahape marae, whereas the Te Tahawai listed in the Ngāti Kahu AiP affiliates to Aputerewa marae. We consider the Te Whanau Pani included in WPH's proposal has outstanding claims to settle based on descent from Ngāpuhi;

e. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

f. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

66. We also consider the following in regards to other hapū included in WPH's proposal:

- a. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
- b. Ngāti Torehina is primarily a Te Pēwhairangi hapū and is included in Te Whakaaetanga's hapū grouping. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
- c. The 'Whānau Pani' and 'Ngāti Pākahi' included in WPH's proposal are different hapū to the hapū listed in the Te Rarawa DoS. We understand the Whānau Pani in the WPH proposal affiliates to the Ngāpuhi marae Te Huia, Te Pātūnga, and Waihapa; and the Whānau Pani in the Te Rarawa DoS affiliates to the Te Rarawa marae Waiparera. We also understand the Ngāti Pākahi listed in WPH's proposal affiliates to the Ngāpuhi marae Te Huia, Te Pātūnga and Mangaiti; and the Ngāti Pākahi listed in the Te Rarawa DoS affiliates to the Te Rarawa marae Roma;
- d. There is insufficient evidence of the affiliations of Ngāti Īmiru to assess whether there is a hapū with this name that has claims to settle based on descent from Ngāpuhi.

Suggested next steps and approach

67. If CDOG agrees with the interpretations of the Ngāti Kahu AiP and Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa DoS claimant definitions laid out in this paper, and the assessment of hapū included in WPH's proposal summarised above, we suggest:

- a. advising WPH to remove [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
- b. advising WPH to include Ngāti Kaitangata/Kai Tangata in their proposal;
- c. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
- d. [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] 9(2)(j)

- e. seeking WPH's position on whether we have understood correctly which specific hapū named Whānau Pani, Te Whānau Pani, Te Tahāwai, and Ngāti Pakahi are included in their proposal. If our understanding is not correct, further work may be required to determine whether these hapū can be included;
- f. [REDACTED] 9(2)(j)
[REDACTED] Ngāti Torehina, considering their affiliations to other Ngāpuhi groups that are seeking, or intend to seek, a mandate to represent their claims. If this is not the case, and WPH have listed these hapū only to recognise their presence in Whangaroa, we suggest advising WPH to remove [REDACTED] Ngāti Torehina from their proposal, and instead to include a statement that recognises these affiliations to that extent only. 9(2)(j)
- g. seeking more information from WPH about the hapū listed as Ngāti Īmiru in their proposal.

Appendix One: Criteria for assessing hapū groupings

SIZE	Is the number of hapū, number of marae and estimated hapū population covered by the mandate large enough to make the process of settlement easier to manage and work through, and to help deal with overlapping interests?
COHERENCE	Is the hapū grouping joined together by whakapapa, rohe, historic alliances and contemporary political coherence to differentiate it sufficiently from other hapū and allow the possibility of settlement?
WHAT REMAINS	What will be left over in the region if a mandate proposal is confirmed, both in terms of hapū and marae interests as well as the area of interests concerned?
GROUPING CAPABILITY	Will the potential grouping that is putting forward the mandate proposal have the capability and capacity to implement a mandate strategy?
LEVELS OF HAPŪ SUPPORT	Is there evidence that hapū covered by a mandate proposal support being included in this hapū grouping?

Appendix Four: Assessment of hapū included in WPH's proposal not also present in either the Ngāti Kahu or Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa claimant definitions

Hapū	Associated Ngāpuhi Marae	Associated Ngāpuhi claims	Named in Tūhoronuku ToN?	Assessment
Kaitore	Ōtangaroa (Mangawhero)		Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Ngā Uri o Te Pona	Te Pātunga	1666, 2149	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Ngāi Tu Pango	Te Ngaere	230, 1487	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Ngāti Haiti	Whakaari; Karangahape; Te Pātunga	1673	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Ngāti Kahuiti	Whakaari; Karangahape	1312	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Ngāti Kawau	Whakaari; Karangahape	1312, 1666, 1673, 2179	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Ngāti Kawhiti	Whakaari; Karangahape; Te Pātunga	1666	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Ngāti Kohu	Waitāruke; Te Pātunga		Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Ngāti Kura	Te Tāpui	230, 234, 573, 1253, 1380, 1400, 1848, 1849, 1850, 1852-1856, 2004	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa and Te Waimate-Taiāmai ki Kaikohe. WPH have said they are only seeking to represent Ngāti Kura's interests as far as they relate to Whangaroa.
Ngāti Miro	Te Pātunga	1400, 1853, 1854, 1855	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa
Ngāti Mokokohi	Te Pātunga	736	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa
Ngāti Ruamahue	Wainui	1613, 1751, 1838, 1846, 2148, 2389	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa
Ngāti Uru	Mangaiti; Te Huia; Te Pātunga	1333	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa

Ngāti Pakahi	Mangaiti, Te Huia, Te Pātūnga	1968, 2376, 2377	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa. There is a different hapū also named Ngāti Pakahi listed in the Te Rarawa DoS (based at Roma marae). ²⁸
Te Aeto	Waihapa; Te Pātūnga	1843	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Te Uri Kai Whare		2196	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Te Uri o Te Aho	Ōtāngaroa	295, 1259, 1681, 2116	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa. Tribunal evidence states Te Uri o Te Aho historically exercised customary rights across both Whangaroa and the Hokianga, and sometimes shared interests with Ngāti Kahu hapū. ²⁹
Ngāti Pou		1151-1169, 1684, 1941	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa and Hokianga.
Ngāti Tu			Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Ngāti Miru	Te Tāpui	230	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Ngāti Torehina	Wharengaere	1343, 1508	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū with connections to Whangaroa but primarily associated with Te Pēwhairangi and already included in Te Whakaaetanga's confirmed Ngāpuhi regional hapū grouping. ³⁰ WPH do not list the claims associated with Ngāti Torehina (Wai 1343 and 1508) in their proposal and we do not expect WPH to seek a mandate to represent them.
Ngāti Īmiru			No	No evidence of hapū located.
Ngāti Rangimatakakā	Waihapa; Ōtāngaroa	1684	Yes	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa, may be shared with Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa but not included in NKkW DoS. Ngāti Rangimatakakā ancestors likely exercised customary rights across an area encompassing Maungataniwha, Ōtāngaroa, Waihapa, Waitaruke and other parts of the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa and WPH Aols. ³¹ WPH say this hapū settled through NKkW instead of Ngāti Rangimatamomoe. This is incorrect – the NKkW DoS included Ngāti Rangimatamomoe.

9(2)(j)

9(2)(j)

²⁸ Deed of Settlement between Te Rarawa and the Crown, p. 191

²⁹ Wai 295 1.1.1(b), p.2

³¹ Wai 1684, 1.1.1, p. 2

9(2)(j)

Ngāti Teketenumia		2376	No	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.
Te Uri Putete		1312	No	Ngāpuhi hapū of Whangaroa.

9(2)(j)

Appendix Five: Wai claims listed in WPH proposal and NKkW DoS we consider likely to have aspects that could be settled under a Ngāpuhi settlement

Wai claims in WPH proposal	Could aspects of claim be settled through a Ngāpuhi Dos?	Hapū/iwi or other claimant group
58 (Wai 1040, 1.1.04)	Yes	Terry Smith on behalf of Whangaroa Maori Executives, Tribes of Whangaroa.
230 (Wai 1040, 1.1.23)	Yes Claimant identifies Matauri Bay and Putatua Bay as 'a spiritual and sacred taonga of the Ngāpuhi tribe'. Hapū cited are described as 'Subtribes of Ngapuhi ki Whangaroa'.	Ngati Kura, Matauri X Incorporation, Ngāti Kaitangata, Ngāti Miru, Ngāti Reihia, Ngāti Ruamahoe, Ngāi Tupango, Ngāti Kawau.
1487 (Not listed in Wai 1040 record)	Probable Ngāti Kura and Ngāti Ruamahoe may be Ngāpuhi hapū.	Ngāi Tupango, Ngāti Ruamahoe, Ngati Kura, Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa.
1666 (Wai 1040, 1.1.236)	Yes	'Te Uri o Te Pona, Ngati Haiti, Ngati Kawau, Ngati Kawhiti Ngati Kahu o Roto Whangaroa, Ngati Tupango, Te Uri o Tutehe, Te Uri Mahoe and Te Uri Tai of Ngapuhi iwi' (Wai 1040, 1.1.236 [a])
1684 (Wai 1040, 1.1.249)	Yes	'Te Hoia, Ngati Rangimatamomoe and Ngati Rangimatakaka [which] are hapu of Ngati Kahu ki Whangaroa and Ngapuhi ki Whangaroa'
1832 (Wai 1040, 1.1.286)	Yes Ngāti Uru affiliate to Ngāpuhi.	'Whangaroa hapu, and particularly the Ngati Uru hapu' Note that in the claim Ngātu Uru are identified as the hapū of Hongi Hika. (Wai 1040 1.1.286 [b]).
1845 (Not listed in Wai 1040 record)	Probable Ngāti Kura and Ngāti Ruamahoe may be Ngāpuhi hapū.	'Ngaitupango, Ngati Ruamahoe, Ngati Kura and Ngati Kahu ki Whangaroa' (Wai 1845, 1.1.1.) Also mentions being member of Whakarara Māori committee.
1846 (Wai 1040, 1.1.293)	Probable Ngāti Ruamahue (Ruamahoe) may be Ngāpuhi hapū.	'Ngati Ruamahue' and Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa
1848 (Wai 1040, 1.1.294)	Yes	'Ngati Miro/Ngati Kura, sub-tribes of Ngati Kahu ki Whangaroa and Nga Puhi' (Wai 1040, 1.1.294 [a]) Notes that marae are associated with 'Whakarara tribal committee'. (Wai 1040, 1.1.294 [a])
1849	Yes	Ngāti Kura, Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa.

(Wai 1040, 1.1.295)	in first statement of claim Ngāti Kura and Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa are referred to as 'sub tribes of Nga Puhi'. (Wai 1040, 1.1.295)	Claimant is member of 'Whakarara tribal committee'. (Wai 1040, 1.1.295)
1850 (Wai 1040, 1.1.296)	Yes If Ngāti Kura are affiliated to Ngāpuhi.	Ngāti Kura, Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa. Claimant is member of 'Whakarara tribal committee'. (Wai 1040, 1.1.296)
1851 (Not listed in Wai 1040 record)	Yes In statement of claim hapū are referred to as 'Ngati Miro/Ngati Kura, Ngati Kahu ki Whangaroa, subtribes of Nga Puhi' (Wai 1850, 1.1.1)	Ngāti Miro, Ngāti Kura, Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa. Claimant is member of 'Whakarara tribal committee'. (Wai 1850, 1.1.1)
1852 (Wai 1040, 1.1.297)	Yes Ngāti Kura are described as being a Ngāpuhi hapū. (Wai 1040, 1.1.297 [a], p.1)	Ngāti Kura, Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa. Claimant is member of 'Whakarara tribal committee' (Wai 1040, 1.1.297)
1853 (Wai 1040, 1.1.298)	Yes In statement of claim hapū are referred to as 'Ngati Miro/Ngati Kura, Ngati Kahu ki Whangaroa, subtribes of Nga Puhi' (Wai 1040, 1.1.298)	Ngāti Miro, Ngāti Kura, Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa, Ngāpuhi. Claimant is member of 'Whakarara tribal committee'. (Wai 1040, 1.1.298)
1854 (Wai 1040, 1.1.299)	Yes Ngāti Kura and Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa are referred to as 'subtribes of Ngapuhi.' (Wai 1040, 1.1.299)	Ngāti Kura, Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa. Claimant is member of 'Whakarara tribal committee'. (Wai 1040, 1.1.299)
1855 (Wai 1040, 1.1.300)	Yes In statement of claim hapū are referred to as 'Ngati Miro/Ngati Kura, Ngati Kahu ki Whangaroa, subtribes of Nga Puhi' (Wai 1040, 1.1.300)	Ngāti Miro, Ngāti Kura, Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa, Ngāpuhi. (Wai 1040, 1.1.300) Claimant is member of 'Whakarara tribal committee'. (Wai 1040, 1.1.300)
2004 (Wai 1040, 1.1.331)	Yes	'Ngati Papakakura, a hapu of Nga Puhi-nui-tonu' (Wai 1040, 1.1.331[b])
2149 (Wai 1040, 1.1.359)	Yes	'Te Uri o Te Pona, Ngati Haiti, Ngati Kawau, Ngati Kawhiti, Ngati Kahu o Roto Whangaroa, Te Uri o Tutehe, Te Uri Mahoe, Te Uri Tai, and Ngai Tupango of Ngapuhi iwi' (Wai 1040, 1.1.359 [a])

Internal Memorandum

To	Kim Moore, Senior Analyst, Ngāpuhi Negotiations Team	File reference 4. Claimant Definition
From	Sophie Young, Historian	
CC	Peter Clayworth, Senior Historian	
Date	29 September 2025	
Subject	Whangaraoa Papa Hapū Research – Kai Tangata	
For	Draft for discussion	

Purpose

1. This memo seeks to answer the question of whether the ancestors of Kai Tangata, a hapū included in the Ngatikahu ki Whangaraoa claimant definition, have exercised customary rights predominately in the Ngātikahu ki Whangaraoa area of interest after 6 February 1840.

Executive Summary

2. Kai Tangata are referred to in sources as “Kaitangata”, “Ngati Kaitangata” or “Te Kaitangata”.¹ Kai Tangata is included in the Ngatikahu ki Whangaraoa claimant definition as a Ngatikahu ki Whangaraoa hapū² and is also identified in the Maranga Mai report as being a Ngāpuhi hapū.³
3. Historians have found historical evidence, which suggests that named Kai Tangata ancestors predominantly exercised their customary interests in the Ngatikahu ki Whangaraoa area of interest since 1840. Historians have also found evidence provided in an oral and traditional report, and relating to Wai claims and marae affiliations, suggesting that other Kai Tangata ancestors may have predominantly exercised their customary rights outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaraoa area of interest and inside the Whangaraoa Papa Hapū area of interest since the 1920s.
4. The Ngātikahu ki Whangaraoa historical account and other historical evidence viewed by historians suggests that a key Kai Tangata ancestor, Hone Tua, predominantly exercised his customary rights on land within the Ngātikahu ki Whangaraoa area of interest in 1840 and after that date.⁴ However, historians have found very little information about other named

¹ Native Land Court minutes refer to “Te Kaitangata”. Northern Minute Book No. 11, p. 86; Northern Minute Book No. 1, pp. 96-99.

² *Ngatikahu ki Whangaraoa Deed of Settlement*, 18 December 2015, pp. 55-56.

³ Maranga Mai, Final Report, 10 August 2016, p. 60, Attachment Four, [Ngapuhi - Maranga Mai Report \(3\).pdf](#).

⁴ *Ngatikahu ki Whangaraoa Deed of Settlement*, 18 December 2015, p. 35.

Kai Tangata ancestors living in the Whangaroa area in 1840 or since that time. What information historians have found is associated with Hone Tua's mother⁵ and children,⁶ and is linked to Hone Tua's rights.

5. Sources suggest that the following locations in the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest may be historically significant for Kai Tangata and likely be relevant in terms of customary rights: Okahumoko pā – traditionally associated with Kai Tangata;⁷ the Mataraka Māori land block – awarded to Kai Tangata in the Native Land Court;⁸ Taupo – the location of a burial site;⁹ and Kaiaraara – a fishing ground where Kai Tangata exercises its role as kaitiaki.¹⁰
6. An oral and traditional history report, viewed by historians, provides evidence that Kai Tangata have been established at Matangirau since the 1920s¹¹ and that Matangirau is the current location for Kai Tangata.¹² Matangirau lies outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest and inside the Whangaroa Papa Hapū area of interest.
7. Online sources show Kai Tangata-affiliated marae (Touwai, Matangirau and Whakaari Pukehuia) as being located in the Matangirau-Kaeo area.¹³ Historians have found three Wai claims made on behalf of Kai Tangata.¹⁴ These Wai claims partially relate to locations outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest and inside the indicative area for interest of Whangaroa Papa Hapū.

⁵ Hone Tua's mother, Toetoe-a-rangi, took care of him following the attack on Okahumoko pā and travelled with him to various locations. Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, pp. 37, 233-234.

⁶ Hone Tua's son, Motukiwi Honetua, represented the family in the Mataraka block title investigation. Northern Minute Book No. 11, pp. 76, 77, 160.

⁷ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, ([Wai 1040, E032.pdf](#)), pp. 44, 233.

⁸ Northern Minute Book 11, pp. 158-160. Cited in Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 236.

⁹ Northern Minute Book No. 11, p. 81.

¹⁰ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 71.

¹¹ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 37.

¹² Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 28.

¹³ Internal documents and online sources suggest that Kai Tangata may be affiliated to several marae. There is some variation in the naming of these marae. The marae are: Touwai marae (otherwise known as "Karangahape ki Matangirau" marae) at Matangirau; Matangirau marae at Kaeo; and Whakaari Pukehuia marae located near Kaeo. It is possible that Matangirau marae is another name for Touwai marae as there is no entry for this marae on the online source, Maori Maps. Māori Maps: <https://maorimaps.com/>; and Te Puni Kōkiri, Te Kāhui Māngai (Dictionary of Iwi and Māori Organisations): <https://www.tkm.govt.nz/>.

¹⁴ Wai 230 (Wai 1040, 1.1.23) "Matauri and Putataua Bays claim" was made on behalf of "the members of the Ngati Kura Hapu, Matauri Bay and on behalf of the Matauri X Incorporation, Ngati Miru, Ngati Rehia, Ngati Ruamahoe, Ngati Tupango, Ngati Kaitangata and Ngati Kawa, Subtribes of Ngapuhi Ki Whangaroa". Wai 258 (Wai 1040, 1.1.32) "Whangaroa Lands Claim" was made on behalf of "Kaitangata and Ngatikahu o Whangaroa Tribes". The amended statement of claim Wai 1968, 1.1.1(a) (Wai 1040, 1.1.322(a) "Tutamoe Pa Claim" was made on behalf of "Kaitangata, Nga Tahawi and Whanau Pani hapū".

8. Historians identified two hapū with which Kai Tangata may have a close connection: Ngāti Mokokohi¹⁵ and Whanau Pani.¹⁶ According to the evidence historians have viewed, these hapū are located outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest and inside the Whangaroa Papa Hapū area of interest. Both of these groups are listed in the Maranga Mai report as Ngāpuhi hapū.¹⁷
9. A map is provided below, showing locations where Kai Tangata ancestors may have exercised customary interests around and after 1840, which historians have found in sources.



Background

Request from Whangaroa Papa Hapū

10. The Ngāpuhi negotiations team agreed to carry out research to see whether all the claims of Kai Tangata [REDACTED] were settled in the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa Treaty Settlement and if there are any claims that should be settled in a Whangaroa Papa Hapū Treaty settlement. These unsettled claims would be based on descent from ancestors of

Out of scope

¹⁵ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapū), Wai 1040, E32, p. 34-35.

¹⁶ Northern Minute Book No. 1, pp. 96-99.

¹⁷ Maranga Mai, Final Report, 10 August 2016, p. 60, Attachment Four, [Ngāpuhi - Maranga Mai Report \(3\).pdf](#).

these [REDACTED] hapū who exercised customary rights in 1840 predominantly outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest, but within the wider indicative Whangaroa Papa Hapū area of interest. Out of scope

Commission

11. On 21 August, Kim Moore and Bella Wood, analysts in the Ngāpuhi Team commissioned research into [REDACTED] hapū, Kai Tangata [REDACTED]. They requested that the historians research these hapū and Wai claims because the Ngāpuhi hapū group, Whangaroa Papa Hapū, have included Kai Tangata [REDACTED] in their draft mandate strategy. Out of scope

Scope

12. This memo reports the research results for Kai Tangata [REDACTED] Out of scope

Research process

13. Historians initially examined the claimant definition in the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa Deed of Settlement, signed December 2015.¹⁸ They noted that it would be necessary to determine whether the ancestors included in the claimant definition exercised customary rights predominantly in the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest after 6 February 1840. If Kai Tangata did predominantly exercise customary rights within the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest, then those claims are wholly settled by the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa settlement and could not be relitigated.
14. Historians examined the boundaries of the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest and compared this with the indicative area of interest for Whangaroa Papa Hapū. They noted that the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest lies entirely within the indicative area of interest for Whangaroa Papa Hapū. However, the indicative area of interest for Whangaroa Papa Hapū is much larger than that of Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa and so the research project was to identify whether the specific hapū ancestors of Kai Tangata [REDACTED] at, and since, 1840 exercised customary rights predominantly to the east and south of the Whangaroa Harbour. Out of scope
15. Historians then searched across historical sources to find information on the key ancestors of Kai Tangata to attempt to determine the geographical locations where these ancestors exercised customary rights at, and since, 1840. Historians also gathered information about where Kai Tangata hapū have exercised rights, including marae affiliations and Wai claims. These sources have included, internal documentation and reports, external sources including Waitangi Tribunal documentation, Native Land Court minutes up until around 1910 and online sources such as Māori Maps and Te Kāhui Māngai website.
16. The main source historians have drawn on for information about Kai Tangata ancestors has been the research report commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapū: *Oral and Traditional History Report for te Rohe o Whangaroa*, Wai 1040, E32. This report cites traditional histories and oral history accounts, including those presented by witnesses at Native Land Court hearings.

¹⁸ Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa Deed of Settlement, 18 December 2015.

Research findings

Potential customary interest sites relating to Kai Tangata

17. Sources suggest that the following sites may be historically significant to Kai Tangata and likely be relevant in terms of customary rights: Okahumoko, Mataraka, Taupo and Kaiaraara. All these sites are located in the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest.
18. Okahumoko is the name of a Kai Tangata pā where Kai Tangata ancestors were killed, around 1826, including Motukiwi, the father of the Kai Tangata ancestor Hone Tua.¹⁹ In 1899, following a title investigation, the Native Land Court awarded the Mataraka block including Okahumoko, to the descendants of Hone Tua, on the basis of continual occupation and Hone Tua's exercise of rights in relation to the land.²⁰ Hone Tua was buried at Okahumoko.²¹
19. Taupo may also be significant in terms of being a burial site, given that Hone Tua's son, Motukiwi Honetua, told the court that "most of the dead are buried at Taupo".²²
20. Kaiaraara, located within the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa is a site of significance to Kai Tangata as a customary fishing ground. Kai Tangata are kaitiaki of this site.²³ Kaiaraara is located in the Western Inlet of Whangaroa Harbour, below a rock face known as Kaiaraara Rocks or Duke's Nose.²⁴

Kai Tangata ancestor: Hone Tua

21. Around 1826, forces led by the rangatira Ururoa attacked, Okahumoko, the Kai Tangata pā.²⁵ Sources suggest the attack was most likely orchestrated by Hongi Hika, who deputised Ururoa. The Kai Tangata people at the pā were almost entirely annihilated. Only a few survived the attack on Okahumoko, with some sources saying the number was two, and others saying it was four.²⁶
22. Hone Tua was an infant or young child at the time of the attack. Sources suggest that he was away with his mother visiting relatives at the time and, therefore, survived.²⁷ After the attack on Okahumoko, Hone Tua and his mother, Toetoe-a-rangi, took refuge at

¹⁹ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, pp. 44, 233.

²⁰ Northern Minute Book 11, pp. 158-160. Cited in Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 236.

²¹ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 304.

²² Northern Minute Book No. 11, p. 81.

²³ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 71.

²⁴ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 299.

²⁵ *Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa Deed of Settlement*, 18 December 2015, p. 35

²⁶ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 138.

²⁷ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, pp. 37, 138, 233.

Ohauroro.²⁸ Following this, they were taken to Matangirau to live.²⁹ Hone Tua was also taken to Kaeo and Upokorau.³⁰ Sources suggest he also went to Te Pupuke and the Pupuke-Kaingapipiwai area.³¹ All these locations, Ohauroro, Matangirau, Kaeo, Upokorau, and the Pupuke-Kaingapipiwai area, are located outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest and inside the Whangaroa Papa Hapū area of interest.

23. As an adult, Hone Tua returned to the Okahumoko pā area by 1858. At that time he was living at Mataraka and Taupo.³² During the Native Land Court investigation of the Mataraka block, in 1899, Hone Tua's son, Motukiwi Honetua, was a spokesperson for the counter claimants. He told the court the land in the Mataraka block belonged exclusively to Hone Tua and his children through ancestry from Horangataua, who first occupied the land. He gave whakapapa from Horangataua, to Te Uri, to Ruahoro, to Tainui, to Motukiwi, to Honetua, and to Motukiwi Honetua (himself).³³
24. In order to reach its decision on the Mataraka, the Native Land Court sought evidence of occupation.³⁴ The court found that Hone Tua returned to Mataraka in about 1858 and lived there constantly until the time of his death. The court awarded the Mataraka block to the descendants of Hone Tua.³⁵

Where Kai Tangata exercised their rights at and since 1840

25. Although historians have not found the date of Hone Tua's death, they have viewed evidence suggesting that Hone Tua may have died at or after 1889.³⁶ If Hone Tua left Okahumoko pā in 1826 and did not return until 1858, he may have lived on land outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest for 32 years, (equating to approximately 18 years post-1840). He returned to Mataraka by 1858 and spent approximately 31 years there before he died. Historians estimate that after 1840 he lived most of his life on land within the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest. If this was the case, he would have predominately exercised any customary rights there in terms of duration. In addition to this, his customary rights to land within the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest were confirmed by the 1899 Native Land Court judgement on the Mataraka block.

²⁸ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, pp. 37, 138, 304.

²⁹ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 37.

³⁰ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 34.

³¹ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, pp. 34-35.

³² Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p.233.

³³ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p.232

³⁴ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p. 235.

³⁵ Northern Minute Book No. 11, pp. 158-160. Cited in Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p.236.

³⁶ The last reference to Hone Tua in the sources historians have viewed concerns a meeting at Towai in 1889. Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p.236.

26. Hone Tua and his son, Motukiwi Honetua, are the Kai Tangata ancestors about whom historians have found the most information. Other ancestors can broadly be divided into two groups: named ancestors living pre-1840, and ancestors living at or after 1840 who historians have yet to identify. As evidence suggests that Kai Tangata currently exercise customary rights outside of the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest, it can be assumed that some of these yet to be identified ancestors exercised such customary rights.

Relationship to Ngāti Mokokohi

27. Ngāti Mokokohi is recorded in some sources as either being closely connected to, or the same as, Kai Tangata.³⁷ During the 1899 Native Land Court investigation of the Matakarakā block, Hone Tua's son, Motukiwi Honetua, introduced himself by saying "My hapu is Te Kaitangata, formerly called N [Ngati] Mokokohi".³⁸ When questioned as to why the name, Ngāti Mokokohi, had been abandoned, Motukiwi Honetua explained that "it has not been abandoned. I am called by that name in other lands".³⁹ This statement could be taken to mean that on land in the Matakarakā block, Motukiwi Honetua exercised his rights as Kai Tangata, but in other lands he might exercise his rights as Ngāti Mokokohi.
28. Ngāti Mokokohi is discussed in the research report commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapū: *Oral and Traditional History Report for te Rohe o Whangaroa*, Wai 1040, E32. The report provides evidence that, through Kai Tangata, Ngāti Mokokohi has an association with the Pupuke-Kaingapipiwai area. It also cites oral evidence suggesting that after the attack on Okahumoko pā, when Hone Tua and his people went to Te Pupuke, they did so as Ngāti Mokokohi.⁴⁰ The Pupuke-Kaingapipiwai area is located outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest and inside the Whangaroa Papa Hapū area of interest. Ngāti Mokokohi is identified as a Ngāpuhi hapū in the Maranga Mai Report.⁴¹

Historical relationship to Whanau Pani

29. Evidence presented by the witness, Taniora Arapata, of Whanaupani (Whanau Pani?) in the 1875 Otangaroa block title investigation suggests there may be a close relationship between Kai Tangata and Whanau Pani. Taniora Arapata recounted historical events relating to Ngāti Pou and Hongi Hika, saying: "... Hongi drove away the Ngatipou and came back and destroyed those of my people who were at Whangaroa Heads. They were called Te Kaitangata and their pa was called Okahumoko...".⁴² Land in the Otangaroa block is located outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest and inside the

³⁷ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapū), Wai 1040, E32, p. 34-35.

³⁸ Northern Minute Book No. 11, p. 77. Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapū), Wai 1040, E32, p.232.

³⁹ Northern Minute Book No. 11, p. 86.

⁴⁰ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapū), Wai 1040, E32, pp. 34-35.

⁴¹ Maranga Mai Report: Maranga Mai, Final Report, 10 August 2016, p. 60, Attachment Four, [Ngāpuhi - Maranga Mai Report \(3\).pdf](#).

⁴² Northern Minute Book No. 1, pp. 96-97, 98-99.

Whangaroa Papa Hapū area of interest. Whanau Pani is identified as a Ngāpuhi hapū in the Maranga Mai Report.⁴³

Marae

30. Historians have identified several marae to which Kai Tangata may be affiliated. There is some variation in the names of these marae, however, they might be identified as Touwai, Matangirau and Whakaari Pukehuia. Sources locate the Touwai marae at Matangirau,⁴⁴ Matangirau marae at Kaero,⁴⁵ and the Whakaari Pukehuia marae as being near to Kaero.⁴⁶ It is possible that Matangirau marae is another name for Touwai marae as there is no entry for Matangirau in the online source, Maori Maps.
31. Touwai marae is also known as “Karangahape ki Matangirau marae”,⁴⁷ or “Karangahape marae”, and is located in Matangirau.⁴⁸ The Whangaroa oral and traditional history report records Kai Tangata as being formerly affiliated to “Karangahape marae” in the 1920s, and also notes that Kai Tangata were also well established in Matangirau through marriage by that time.⁴⁹ This might suggest that the connection Kai Tangata had with the Touwai marae was also through marriage.
32. Information about Kai Tangata marae locations and affiliations might indicate that Kai Tangata became established in the area of Matangirau-Kaero area from the 1920s onwards and that in more recent times Kai Tangata ancestors have not predominately exercised customary rights in the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest. All these marae locations are outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest and inside the Whangaroa Papa Hapū area of interest.⁵⁰

Wai claims

33. Historians also examined three Wai claims made on behalf of Kai Tangata. All of these refer, at least in part, to locations outside the Ngātikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest and inside the indicative area for interest of Whangaroa Papa Hapū.
34. Wai 230 (Wai 1040, 1.1.23), the Matauri and Putataua Bays claim, was lodged by Dover Samuels in July 1991, on behalf of “the members of the Ngati Kura Hapu, Matauri Bay and on behalf of the Matauri X Incorporation, Ngati Miru, Ngati Rehia, Ngati Ruamahoe, Ngai Tupango, Ngati Kaitangata and Ngati Kawa, Subtribes of Ngapuhi Ki Whangaroa”. This

⁴³ Maranga Mai Report: Maranga Mai, Final Report, 10 August 2016, p. 60, Attachment Four, [Ngapuhi - Maranga Mai Report \(3\).pdf](#)

⁴⁴ Māori Maps. Accessed 10 September 2025 at: <https://maorimaps.com/>.

⁴⁵ Te Puni Kōkiri, Te Kāhui Māngai (Dictionary of Iwi and Māori Organisations). Accessed 10 September 2025 at: <https://www.tkm.govt.nz/>

⁴⁶ Māori Maps. Accessed 10 September 2025 at: <https://maorimaps.com/>.

⁴⁷ Māori Maps. Accessed 10 September 2025 at: <https://maorimaps.com/>.

⁴⁸ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, pp. 39, 300.

⁴⁹ Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapu), Wai 1040, E32, p 37.

⁵⁰ Internal documents and online sources suggest that Kai Tangata may be affiliated to several marae: Touwai marae (otherwise known as “Karangahape ki Matangirau” marae) at Matangirau; Matangirau marae at Kaero; and Whakaari Pukehuia marae located near Kaero. It is possible that Matangirau is another name for Towai marae as it is not found on the the online source, Maori Maps. Māori Maps: <https://maorimaps.com/>; and Te Puni Kōkiri, Te Kāhui Māngai (Dictionary of Iwi and Māori Organisations): <https://www.tkm.govt.nz/>.

claim concerns Matauri Bay and Putataua Bay, which are located outside the area of interest of Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa and inside the indicative area of interest for Whangaroa Papa Hapū. The claim mentions customary rights such as food gathering and fishing.

35. Wai 258 (Wai 1040, 1.1.32), the Whangaroa Lands Claim, was lodged by Michael Sheehan on behalf of "Kaitangata and Ngatikahu o Whangaroa Tribes" in July 1989, on behalf of "Kaitangata and Ngatikahu o Whangaroa Tribes". The claimant states that he descends from "Te Keepa Tupe, chief of Te Touwai",⁵¹ and he also states that he has affiliations with the "Karangahape Marae at Matangirau". The claim relates to "land claims in and around the Whangaroa District". The areas of the claim are: Matakarakā B3, "Matakarakā B3 (Defence purposes)" and Ranfurly Bay Scenic Reserve, which are located in the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest) and Te Anina Point, located in the Whangaroa Papa Hapū indicative area of interest.
36. Wai 1968, 1.1.1(a)/ Wai 1040, 1.1.322(a), an amended statement of claim,⁵² for Wai 1968 (Wai 1040, 1.1.322), "Tutamoe Pa Claim", was lodged by Ruben Taiparai Mare Porter in September 2011, on behalf of "Kaitangata, Nga Tahawi and Whanau Pani hapū". This includes a grievance relating to the partitioning of the claimant's interests in the Wainui and Touwai "land blocks" between 1869 and 1954. The Wainui and Touwai Māori land blocks are located outside the Ngatikahu ki Whangaroa area of interest and inside the Whangaroa Papa Hapū indicative area of interest.

⁵¹ The oral and traditional history report places Te Keepa Tupe as living in the Matangirau area pre-1840. Te Uira Associates, *Oral and Traditional History Report for Te Rohe o Whangaroa*, (commissioned by Whangaroa Papa Hapū), Wai 1040, E32, p. 241.

⁵² This is an amendment to the original statement of claim Wai 1968 (Wai 1040, 1.1.322), lodged by Ruben Taiparai Mare Porter in August 2008 relating to the Tutamoe Pa site at Wainui. The original statement of claim does not mention Kai Tangata. There is another amended claim, Wai 1968, 1.1.1(b) (Wai 1040, 1.1.322(b)), a Military Veterans Kaupapa Inquiry claim, which does not mention Kai Tangata.